



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR

WRIT PETITION NO.201969 OF 2025 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

MANJULA
W/O SURYAKANTH KANNALE,
AGED 36 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD,
R/O THANA KUSHNOOR,
TQ. AURAD, B DIST. BIDAR-585436.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI.JAIRAJ KASHAPPA BUKKA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BIDAR, TQ. AND DIST. BIDAR-585401.
2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
BIDAR TQ. AND DIST. BIDAR-585401.
3. THE TAHSIDAR AURAD (B)
TQ. AURAD DIST. BIDAR-585326.
4. SANTOSH S/O KASHINATHRAO PANNALE,
AGE: 43 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,
R/O THANA KUSHNOOR,
TQ. AWARAD (B), DIST. BIDAR-585436.
5. SHILPA W/O SANTOSH PANNALE,
AGE: 36 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD,
R/O THANA KUSHNOOR,
TQ. AWARAD (B), DIST. BIDAR-585436.





6. SHREYA D/O SANTOSH PANNALE,
AGE: 08 YEARS, OCC: STUDENT,
R/O THANA KUSHNOOR,
TQ. AWARAD (B), DIST. BIDAR-585436.
7. RIDVIK S/O SANTOSH PANNALE,
AGE: 06 YEARS, OCC: STUDENT,
R/O THANA KUSHNOOR,
TQ. AWARAD (B), DIST. BIDAR-585436.
(ABOVE PROPOSED IMPEADING
APPLICANTS NOS.3 & 4
ARE MINORS, THEY ARE
REPRESENTED THROUGH THEIR
NATURAL FATHER APPLICANT NO.1)

(IMPLEADED AS PER ORDER
DATED 24.02.2026)

...RESPONDENTS
(BY SRI.MALLIKARJUN SAHUKAR, AGA FOR R1 TO R3;
SRI.SHARANABASAPPA K.BABSHETTY, ADV.FOR R4 TO R7)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS OR ORDER OR DIRECTION TO 3RD RESPONDENT TO CONSIDER THE PETITIONER REPRESENTATION AS PER ANNEXURE-F DATED 14.05.2025. B) ISSUE A WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS AND DIRECT THE 3RD RESPONDENT TO INCORPORATE THE PETITIONER NAME WITH HER HUSBAND NAME SURYAKANTH S/O KASHINATH KANNALE, IN RTC COLUMN NO.9 AND 12/2 IN LAND SY NO.99/1/2 MEASURING 3 ACRE 3 GUNTAS SITUATED AT NIDODA VILLAGE, TQ. AURAD DIST. BIDAR, C) ISSUE A WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS OR DIRECTING TO THE 3RD



RESPONDENT TO INCORPORATE THE PETITIONER NAME WITH HER MOTHER IN LAW NAMELY SHANTABAI W/O LATE KASHINATH KANNALE IN LAND SY NO.106/2 MEASURING 4 ACRES 39 GUNTAS SITUATED AT NIDODA VILLAGE TQ. AURAD DIST. BIDAR IN COLUMN No.9 AND 12/2 OF THE RTC. D) ISSUE A WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS OR ORDER OR DIRECTIONS TO 1ST AND 3RD RESPONDENTS AUTHORITY CONSIDER THE ORDER PASSED BY THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT BIDAR IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.24/2021 THROUGH COMPROMISED ORDER DATED 12.03.2022 AT ANNEXURE-C AND THEREFORE, THE SAID LAND SURVEY NUMBERS INCORPORATE THE PETITIONER NAME IN THE RTC COLUMN NO.9 AND 12/2 WITH SURYAKANTH AND SHANTABAI.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR

ORAL ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The impleading applicant Nos.4 to 7 have filed an application to come on record in this petition who are none other than the brother of husband of the petitioner



and the wife and children of the brother and they have interest in the petition property.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had initiated a petition for maintenance in CrI.Misc.No.459/2016 which came to be dismissed as against the petitioner and partly allowed as against the minor children awarding maintenance of Rs.1,500/- each. The same was challenged by the petitioner in Criminal Revision Petition No.24/2021 in which petition, the parties i.e., the petitioner and her husband entered into a settlement by way of compromise before the Lok-adalat on 12.03.2022. The husband of the petitioner had agreed to give an amount of Rs.4,00,000/- to the petitioner-wife and to maintain her till her lifetime and also a share in the immovable property in land bearing Sy.No.99/1/2, measuring 03 acres 03 guntas as joint pattadar and another land in Sy.No.106/2 measuring 04 acres 39 guntas situated at Nidoda village, Aurad Taluk, Bidar District, as joint Pattadars. The petitioner



contends that the name of the petitioner shall be included in the RTC as joint pattadar along with name of her mother-in-law. Hence, the present petition is filed to enter the name of the petitioner as joint pattadar in the revenue records in pursuance to the compromise petition entered into between the petitioner and her husband.

4. Learned counsel for the impleading applicants filed an application to implead themselves contending that the brother of husband of the petitioner is also having a share in the property as the property belongs to deceased father and now presently a portion of the property stands in the name of the mother-in-law of the petitioner. Therefore, the impleading applicants being one of the sons of Shantabai whose name appears in the revenue records would also be entitled to a share in the property.

5. The present petition is only seeking a writ of mandamus to include the name of the petitioner in the revenue records along with the mother-in-law to an extent of 04 acres 39 guntas in Sy.No.106/2 and along with her



husband to an extent of 03 acres 03 guntas in Sy.No.99/1/2, in view of the fact that the property is standing in the name of mother-in-law in Survey No.106/2, measuring 04 acres 39 guntas and the property in Survey No.99/1/2, measuring 03 acres, 03 guntas stand in the name of the husband of petitioner, the same will have to be acted upon by the respondent authorities by taking into consideration the compromise petition to the extent which is permissible in law, as against the husband of the petitioner and by taking into consideration the objections and representations, if any, of the impleading applicants, who may also have a share in the property belonging to the mother, namely Shantabai with respect to Sy.No.106/2, measuring 04 acres 39 guntas. As the present petition is filed seeking a writ of mandamus, the petition is required to be disposed of.

6. Accordingly, I pass the following:

ORDER

i. The petition is ***disposed of***.



- ii. The respondent authority shall consider the representation of the petitioner dated 14.05.2025 and pass suitable orders in accordance to law.
- iii. The respondent authority shall also call for objections or any claim, if any, of the impleading applicants, who are none other than one of the sons of Shantabai and her daughter-in-law and grandchildren, before passing any such order grant an opportunity of hearing and pass suitable orders within four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Sd/-
(PRADEEP SINGH YERUR)
JUDGE

VNR
LIST NO.: 2 SL NO.: 18
CT:SI