



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
KALABURAGI BENCH
DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF APRIL, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S RACHAIAH
CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 200912 OF 2025
(482(Cr.PC)/528(BNSS))**

BETWEEN:

SMT. VIRUPAMMA
W/O SHAMANNA DUMATI
AGE 65 YEARS,
OCC: HOME MAKER,
R/O TAVARAGERE,
TQ.KUSTAGI,
DIST.KOPPAL – 583 279.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. RAMESH Y., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
THROUGH TOWN PS SINDHANOORU,
REP BY ITS
ADDL. STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
KALABURAGI – 585 103.
2. JYOTI ALIAS SARASWATHI
W/O BASAVARAJA DUMATI,
AGE 25 YEARS,
OCC: HOME MAKER,





R/O TAVARAGERE,
TQ.KUSTAGI, DIST.KOPPAL

NOW AT UPPARAWADI
TQ.SINDHANUR
DIST.RAICHUR – 584 128.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SHAHABUDDIN JAMADAR., HCGP FOR R1
BY SRIYUTHS KARTHIC, MITHUNKUMAR AND CHANNABASAVA.,
ADVOCATES FOR R2)

THIS CRL.P FILED U/S.528 OF BNSS, BY THE ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONER PRAYING TO, ALLOW THIS PETITION
AND BE PLEASED TO QUASH THE ENTIRE CRIMINAL
PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO. 2 IN
BEARING CASE NO.C.C.666/2025, FOR THE OFFENCES
PUNISHABLE UNDER SECTIONS 85, 115(2), 133, 329(4),
351(2), 352, 3(5) OF BHARATIYA NYAYA SANHITA (BNS) 2023
AND SECTIONS 3, 4, 6 OF THE DOWRY PROHIBITION ACT
1961, IN CRIME NO.15/2015 REGISTERED BY SINDHANOOR
POLICE STATION, FILE PENDING BEFORE THE PRL. CIVIL
JUDGE AND JMFC COURT, SINDHANOOR, RAICHUR DIST ON
FOLLOWING GROUNDS AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S RACHAIAH



ORAL ORDER

1. Heard Mr. Ramesh Y., learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Shahabuddin Jamadar, learned High Court Government Pleader for respondent No.1 and Sri Kartic, Sri Mithunkumar and Sri Channabasava, learned counsels for the respondent No.2.
2. This Criminal Petition is filed by the petitioner/accused No.2 seeking to quash the entire criminal proceedings against the petitioner in C.C.No.666/2025 pending before the Prl. Civil Judge (Jr.Dn) and JMFC Court, Sindhanoor, Raichur District, arising out of Crime No.15/2025 of respondent – Police station, for the offences punishable under Sections 85, 115(2), 133, 329(4), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 3, 4 and 6 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondent No.2 submit that the petitioner and the respondent No.2 have settled the dispute amicably and filed a Joint Memo of even date. The same is taken on record.



4. The petitioner and the respondent No.2 are present and are identified by their respective counsels. The Joint Memo is duly signed by the petitioner, the respondent No.2 and their respective counsels. The Joint Memo reads thus:

"1. The petitioner herein preferred the above criminal petition sought to quash the entire criminal proceedings against the petitioner / accused No.2 in C.C.No.666/2025, for the offences punishable under section 85, 115(2), 133, 329(4), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 and section 3, 4, 6 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, on the file of the Prl. Civil Judge (Jr.Dn) & JMFC Court, Sindhanoor, Raichur District.

2. The petitioner and Respondent No.2 submit that, during the pendency of the above petition, at the advice of elders and relatives, the parties are amicably settling in the above case.

3. It is further submitted that with intervention of holders of both the petitioner family and respondent no.2 family, keeping the in view of future, the both respondent no.2 and petitioner they are resolved their dispute amicably and now they are compromised the dispute hence this joint memo.

(sic)



4. *The continuation of the proceedings would serve no purpose and the respondent no.2 does not wish proceed with the criminal proceeding in C.C.No.666/2025 on the Prl. Civil Judge (Jr.dn) and JMFC Court, Sindanoor, Raichur District.*

5. *In view of the peculiar facts and circumstances involved in the above matter the 2nd respondent has no objection for quashing of the said proceedings and both the parties filed this joint memo without any force, undue influence or coercion.*

6. *Wherefore, the petitioner and 2nd respondent above pray that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to accept the memo and allow the above petition and quash the C.C.No.666/2025 in for the offences punishable under Sections 85, 115(2), 133, 329(4), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 3, 4 and 6 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, pending before the Prl. Civil Judge (Jr.Dn) and JMFC Court, Sindhanoor, Raichur District.*

(sic)

5. In terms of the averments stated above, the parties have settled the matter amicably and sought to dispose of the matter, as per the terms and conditions stated above. Their submission is placed on record. There is no



embargo to this Court to dispose of the matter in terms of the Joint Memo.

6. Hence, I proceed to pass the following:-

ORDER

The Criminal Petition is ***disposed of*** in terms of the Joint Memo filed by the parties.

In view of the disposal of the main petition, pending I.A., does not survive for consideration and the same is also disposed of.

Sd/-
(S RACHAIAH)
JUDGE

Bss
List No.: 48 SI No.: 1