



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 9TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2024

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SANJAY GOWDA

WRIT PETITION NO. 205461 OF 2014 (L-WC)

BETWEEN:

M/S KESORAM INDUSTRIES LTD.,
UNIT : VASAVADATTA CEMENT LTD.,
LAKSHMINARAYAN NAGAR,
SEDAM, DIST : GULBARGA
BY ITS AUTHORISED SIGNATORY,

...PETITIONER

(SERVED COURT NOTICE -ABSENT)

AND:

1. THE ADDITIONAL LABOUR COMMISSIONER
AND AUTHORITY UNDER INDUSTRIAL
EMPLOYMENT (STANDING ORDERS) ACT, 1946,
KARMIKA BHAVAN, BANNERGHATTA ROAD,
BANGALORE-560 029.
2. THE DEPUTY LABOUR COMMISSIONER AND
CERTIFYING OFFICER UNDER THE
INDUSTRIAL EMPLOYMENT (STANDING ORDERS)
ACT, GULBARGA REGION,
GULBARGA-585 101.
3. THE PRESIDENT
VASAVADATTA CEMENT FACTORY
MAZDOOR SANGH (HMS)
NEAR GPS SCHOOL, SEDAM DISTRICT,
GULBARGA-585222.





4. THE PRESIDENT
VASAVADATTA CEMENT GENERAL
WORKERS UNIOR (BMS),
DESK COMPLEX MAIN ROAD,
SEDAM, DIST : GULBARGA-585222.
5. VASAVADATTA CEMENT
EMPLOYEES AND GENERAL
WORKERS UNION, SEDAM,
BY ITS PRESIDENT

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI VEERANAGOUDA MALIPATIL, HC GP, FOR R1 AND R2;
SRI. S.V. DESHMUKH ADV. FOR R3;
SRI JYANANDAYYA ADV. FOR R4;
SRI SNAJY M. JOSHI, ADV. FOR R5)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO CALL FOR THE RECORDS PERTAINING TO THE ORDER DATED 21.07.2014 PASSED IN APPEAL NO. SOA / CR-4 /2010-11 (ANNEXURE - A) PASSED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT AND ISSUE A WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION, MORE IN THE NATURE OF WRIT OF CERTIORARI, AND QUASH THE ORDER DATED 21.07.2014 PASSED BY RESPONDENT NO.-1, IN APPEAL NO. SOA / CR-4 /2010-11 (ANNEXURE-A) AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SANJAY GOWDA



ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SANJAY GOWDA)

1. Court notice sent to the petitioner was duly served. On the last occasion, this Court noticed that there was no appearance despite service of notice to the petitioner.
2. It was also made clear that if they did not appear on the next date of hearing and prosecute the matter, the petition would be liable for dismissal.
3. Today when the matter was called, there is no representation. In view of the earlier order, the Writ Petition is ***dismissed for non-prosecution.***

**Sd/-
(N.S.SANJAY GOWDA)
JUDGE**

DHA
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 20