



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 22ND DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY

WRIT PETITION NO. 201675 OF 2026 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

DASARATH S/O LATE SHIVARAM
AGE: 79 YEARS OCC: AGRICULTURE
R/O SALAGAR COLONY (SALAGAR BASANTHPUR)
VILLAGE, TQ. CHINCHOLI-585306
DIST. KALABURAGI

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI SANGOLI NAGANNA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
M S BUILDING, BENGALURU 560001
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BIDAR, DISTRICT: KALABURAGI 585101
3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
SEDAM SUB DIVISION
DIST: KALABURAGI -585222
4. THE TAHSILDAR
CHINCHOLI





DIST: KALABURAGI-58301

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI MALLIKARJUN SAHUKAR, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO, A) ISSUE A WRIT OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT NO.4 TO MUTATE AGRICULTURAL LAND 4 a 22 g OF SY NO. 171 OF SALAGAR BASANTHPUR TQ. CHINCHOLI AS PER ANNEXURE-C DATED 04.03.2024; B) GRANT ANY OTHER RELIEFS AS THIS COURT DEEMS FIT IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY

ORAL ORDER

Petitioner is before this Court under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India seeking for the following reliefs:

"a) Issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondent No.4 to mutate agricultural land 4 a 22 g of Sy No.171 of Salagar Basanthpur Tq. Chincholi as per Annexure-C dated 04.03.2024;

b) Grant any other reliefs as this court deems fit in the interest of justice and equity."



2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Advocate on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4.

3. It is the case of the petitioner that his father Shivaram Nayak S/o Mannu Nayak had purchased property bearing Sy.No.171 measuring 4 acres 22 guntas situated at Salagar village, Chincholi taluk, Kalaburagi district under a registered sale deed dated 21.04.1975 from late Osmal Ali S/o Khaja Saab. Copy of the said sale deed is produced at Annexure-A. It appears that thereafter petitioner's father had filed an application before the jurisdictional Tahasildar to mutate his name in the revenue records of the land in question and the jurisdictional Revenue Officer had rejected the said application for the reason that original records of the land in question were not transferred to him. After the death of petitioner's father, the petitioner has filed a representation/application before respondent No.4 - Tahasildar on 04.03.2024 with a prayer to mutate his



name in the revenue records of the aforesaid land. Grievance of the petitioner is that the said representation is not considered and appropriate orders have not been passed till date. It is under these circumstances, the petitioner is before this Court.

4. Learned Additional Government Advocate submits that subsequent to the representation of the petitioner at Annexure-C dated 04.03.2024, a panchanama was drawn by the jurisdictional Revenue Inspector. He submits that if the Tahasildar has not passed any order considering the said panchanama till date, if some reasonable time is granted, the Tahasildar shall pass appropriate orders on the petitioner's representation in accordance with law.

5. The said submission is placed on record.

6. Accordingly, the following:

ORDER

(i) The Writ Petition is ***partly allowed***.



- (ii) A direction is issued to respondent No.4 – Tahasildar to consider the representation of the petitioner at Annexure-C dated 04.03.2024 and pass appropriate orders on the same in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible but not later than a period of ***four months*** from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
- (iii) The petitioner is at liberty to file necessary documents before respondent No.4, if required.

Sd/-
(S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY)
JUDGE