



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY

WRIT PETITION NO. 201685 OF 2026 (LA-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SHARUBAI W/O SHANKAR AADE
AGE 51 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE
R/O ALAND TQ ALAND DIST KALABURAGI
2. SUNITA W/O SATISH NAROTI
AGE 53 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE
R/O ALAND TQ ALAND DIST KALABURAGI
3. INDUMATI W/O SUBASH HOSALLI
AGE 48 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE
R/O ALAND TQ ALAND DIST KALABURAGI
4. SUBASH S/O PAYANNA HOSALLI
AGE 43YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE
R/O ALAND TQ ALAND DIST KALABURAGI
5. RAMABAI W/O SOMALU JADHAV
AGE 49 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE
R/O ALAND TQ ALAND DIST KALABURAGI
6. SANGEETA W/O SHANKAR NAROTI
AGE 53 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE
R/O ALAND TQ ALAND DIST KALABURAGI
7. SHREEDEVI W/O SURESH AADE
AGE 45 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE





R/O ALAND TQ ALAND DIST KALABURAGI

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. MANJUNATH GINNI AND SRI SIDDALIING P.MUNALI,
ADVOCATES)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
PANCHAYATRAJ DEPARTMENT
VIKAS SOUDHA, BENGALURU-560001
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KALABURAGI DISTRICT, KALABURAGI
3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
KALABURAGI
4. THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
ZILLA PANCHAYAT, KALABURAGI
5. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PANCHAYATRAJ ENGINEERING DIVISION
KALABURAGI
6. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYATRAJ
ENGINEERING PLANNING SUB DIVISION
KALABURAGI.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. MALLIKARJUN SAHUKAR, AGA FOR R1 TO R3, R6;
SRI KRUPASAGAR PATIL, ADVOCATE FOR R4;
SRI R.J.BHUSARE, ADVOCATE FOR R5)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226
AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO, A)
ISSUE WRIT OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS
TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION OF THE PETITIONER AS
PER ANNEXURE-C, C1 TO C4 AND INITIATE ACQUISITION
PROCEEDINGS IN RESPECT OF LAND BEARING SY NO.79/2



MEASURING 2 ACRES SY NO.33/1 MEASURING 5 ACRES 35 GUNTAS , SY NO.79/A1 MEASURING 2 ACRES, SY NO.82/1 MEASURING 7 ACRES 14 GUNTAS, SY NO.33/2 MEASURING 6 ACRES 16 GUNTAS, SY NO.80/1 MEASURING 2 ACRES 5 GUNTAS, AND SY NO.82/2 MEASURING 4 ACRES 15 GUNTAS ALL SITUATED AT ALAND AND PAY COMPENSATION AS PER ACT TO THE PETITIONERS WITHIN A TIME FRAME AS FIXED BY THE HONOURABLE COURT, IN ENDS OF JUSTICE; B) ISSUE ANY WRIT ORDER OR DIRECTION AS THIS HON'BLE COURT DEEMS FIT IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE, IN THE ENDS OF JUSTICE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY

ORAL ORDER

Petitioners are before this Court under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India seeking for the following reliefs :

- a) Issue writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner as per Annexure-C, C1 TO C4 and initiate acquisition proceedings in respect of land bearing Sy.No.79/2 measuring 02 acres, Sy.No.33/1 measuring 5 acres 35 guntas, Sy.No.79/A1 measuring 02 acres, Sy.No.82/1 measuring 07 acres 14 guntas, Sy.No.33/2 measuring 06 acres 16 guntas, Sy.No.80/1 measuring 02 acres 05 guntas, and Sy.No.82/2 measuring 04 acres 15 guntas all situated at Aland in Kalaburagi District and pay compensation as per Act to the petitioners within a



time frame as fixed by the Hon'ble Court, in ends of justice;

- b) Issue any writ order or direction as this Hon'ble Court deems fit in the circumstances of the case, in the ends of justice.

2. Petitioners claim they are the owners of the land bearing Sy.No.79/2 measuring 02 acres, Sy.No.33/1 measuring 05 acres 35 guntas, Sy.No.79/A1 measuring 02 acres, Sy.No.82/1 measuring 07 acres 14 guntas, Sy.No.33/2 measuring 06 acres 16 guntas, Sy.No.80/1 measuring 02 acres 05 guntas, and Sy.No.82/2 measuring 04 acres 15 guntas all situated at Aland taluk in Kalaburagi District.

3. Grievance of the petitioners is that without acquiring the aforesaid lands, the respondents have formed a road over the same. It appears that, it is under these circumstances, the petitioners have given representation to various authorities with a prayer to acquire their lands which is utilized for the purpose of formation of road from Halli Salagar Main road to Kannu



Nayak Thanda. Grievance of the petitioners is that the said representation at Annexures-C to C4 have not been considered by the respondents.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that respondent No.6 is the competent authority to consider the grievance of the petitioners and petitioners have not given any representation to the 6th respondent.

5. Under the circumstances, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** permitting the petitioners to submit appropriate representation to the 6th respondent with supportive documents and in the event of the petitioners submitting such representation before the 6th respondent, he shall consider the same in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders on the same as expeditiously as possible but not later than a period of four months from the date of receipt of such representation.

Sd/-
(S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY)
JUDGE

SN/List No.: 1 SI No.: 8