



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY

WRIT PETITION NO. 201346 OF 2026 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

YAMANAPPA S/O DANAPPA VIBHUTIHALLI,
AGE: 48 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,
R/O SINDAGI TQ. SINDAGI,
DIST. VIJAYAPURA- 586128.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. BAPUGOUDA SIDDAPPA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
VIDHANA SOUDHA, BENGALURU-560001.
2. THE TAHASILDAR,
SINDAGI, DIST. VIJAYAPURA-586128.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI SHESHADRI JAISHANKAR M., AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO, A) A WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO ISSUE THE DIRECTION TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION GIVEN BY THE PETITIONER ON DATED 05.11.2025 VIDE AT ANNEXURE-B..B) ISSUE ANY OTHER WRIT OR ORDER OF DIRECTION TO



MEET THE ENDS OF JUSTICE UNDER THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY

ORAL ORDER

1. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Advocate, who is directed to take notice for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

2. The petitioner is before this Court seeking a writ of mandamus directing the respondent No.2 to consider his representation at Annexure-B dated 05.11.2025. It is the case of the petitioner that, he has purchased the property bearing Survey No.372/2B, measuring 20 guntas, situated at Sindagi, Sindagi taluka, Vijayapura district, under the registered sale deed at Annexure-A dated 06.03.2008. It appears that, the petitioner has now given a representation to the respondent No.2 on 05.11.2025 to enter his name in the revenue records of the aforesaid land based on the registered sale deed dated 06.03.2008. The grievance of the



petitioner is that, such a representation is not considered by the Tahasildar, till date.

3. Learned Additional Government Advocate submits that, the petitioner is required to submit an online application in the prescribed form after paying requisite fee on the same and if such an application is filed, the same shall be considered within a reasonable time by the respondent No.2-Tahasildar.

4. The said submission is placed on record.

5. Accordingly, the following:

ORDER

The writ petition is disposed of permitting the petitioner to file a appropriate online application in the prescribed form within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in the event, if such an application is filed, the respondent No.2-Tahasildar shall consider the same and pass appropriate orders in accordance



with law, as expeditiously as possible, but not later than a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of such an application, from the petitioner.

Sd/-
(S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY)
JUDGE

Svh/-
List No.: 1 SI No.: 25
CT:PK