



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

AND

THE HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA

WRIT APPEAL NO.200058 OF 2023 (S-DE)

BETWEEN:

VITHAL RAO S/O CHANDRAPPA,
AGE: 47 YEARS, OCC: CRPF CONSTABLE,
R/O: CHITAGUPPA, TQ. HUMNABAD,
DIST. BIDAR.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI. NITESH PADIYAL, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE UNION OF INDIA
THROUGH ITS PRL. SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF HOME AFFAIRS,
SOUTH BLOCK, PARLIAMENT OF INDIA,
NEW DELHI-110001.
2. THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE,
NEW DELHI-110001.
3. THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
GROUP CENTRE, CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE,
TARALU AT GROUP CENTRE, YELAHANKA,
BENGALURU-500 064.
4. THE COMMANDANT,
OFFICE OF DEPUTY INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
GROUP CENTRE, TARALU CENTRAL
RESERVE POLICE FORCE,
CAMPUS YELAHANKA, BENGALURU-5600 064.

...RESPONDENTS





THIS WRIT APPEAL IS FILED UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KARNATAKA HIGH COURT ACT, PRAYING TO ALLOW THE APPEAL FILED BY APPELLANT BY SETTING ASIDE THE ORDER OF LEARNED SINGLE JUDGE, PASSED IN W.P.NO.200195/2017 DATED 17.09.2021 AND ALLOW THE WRIT PETITION IN TOTO ORDERING FOR HIS REINSTATEMENT WITH RETROSPECTIVE DATE OF HIS COMPULSORY RETIREMENT WITH ALL CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ
and
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)

1. The appellant is before this Court seeking for the following reliefs:-

"To allow the appeal filed by appellant by setting aside the order of learned Single Judge, passed in W.P.No.200195/2017 dated 17.09.2021 and allow the writ petition in toto ordering for his reinstatement with retrospective date of his compulsory retirement with all consequential benefits in the interest of justice."



2. A memo dated 13.01.2026 has been filed signed by the appellant and learned counsel for the appellant which reads as under:-

"A. In the above case, the appellant intend to withdraw the appeal as he challenge the order passed by the Director General before the appropriate authority.

B. Hence, the above writ appeal may kindly be dismissed as withdrawn, with a liberty to the appellant to challenge the impugned order passed by the Director General before the appropriate Court/Authority."

3. Accepting the memo and submission of learned counsel for the appellant, the above appeal is dismissed as withdrawn, reserving liberty to the appellant to challenge the impugned order passed by the Director General, before the appropriate authority.

**Sd/-
(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(DR.CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA)
JUDGE**