



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY

WRIT PETITION NO. 201245 OF 2026 (S-KSRTC)

BETWEEN:

SHIVAPUTRAPPA S/O KAREPPA,
AGE: 46 YEARS, OCC: DRIVER-CUM-CONDUCTOR,
R/O MAVINMATTI, PO MANGALORE,
DIST. YADGIR – 585290.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SANTOSH KUMAR B. BIRADAR, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
KALYAN KARNATAKA ROAD
TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
(KKRTC), CENTRAL OFFICE, SARIGE SADANA,
KALABURAGI – 585102.
2. THE DIVISIONAL CONTROLLER/DISCIPLINARY
AUTHORITY KALYAN KARNATAKA ROAD
TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
(KKRTC), YADGIR DIVISION,
YADGIR- 585201.
3. THE DEPOT MANAGER,
KALYAN KARNATAKA ROAD
TRANSPORT CORPORATION
(KKRTC), SHORPUR DIVISON,
YADGIR-585224

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI MAHANTESH PATIL, ADVOCATE FOR R1 TO R3)

Digitally signed
by SACHIN
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO, A) ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER, OR DIRECTION, THEREBY QUASHING THE IMPUGNED SUSPENSION ORDER NO.ಕಕರಸಾ/ಯಾವಿ/ಶಿಶಾ/205/2026/1260/2026 DATED 17-02-2026 ISSUED BY RESPONDENT NO.2 (ANNEXURE-D). B) ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER, OR DIRECTION, THEREBY QUASHING THE IMPUGNED ARTICLES OF CHARGES NO. ಕಕರಸಾ/ಯಾವಿ/ಶಿಶಾ/205/2026/1261/2026 DATED 17-02-2026 ISSUED BY RESPONDENT NO.2 (ANNEXURE-E). C) DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO REINSTATE THE PETITIONER INTO SERVICE WITH ALL CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS, INCLUDING CONTINUITY OF SERVICE AND BACK WAGES FOR THE PERIOD OF SUSPENSION AND D) PASS SUCH WAGES ORDERS AS THIS HONOURABLE COURT DEEMS FIT AND PROPER UNDER THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY

ORAL ORDER

1. The petitioner is before this Court with a prayer to quash the impugned suspension order dated 17.02.2026 issued by respondent No.2 vide Annexure-D.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that, the impugned suspension order has been revoked on



18.03.2026 and therefore, the writ petition does not survive for consideration.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner at this stage submits that, the prayer made by the petitioner at Annexures-B and C may be dismissed as not pressed.

4. Submissions by learned counsels are taken on record.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as having rendered infructuous.

Sd/-
(S.VISHWAJITH SHETTY)
JUDGE