



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR

WRIT PETITION NO.200854 OF 2026 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

AMRESH KUMAR
S/O LATE PANDHARINATH DOIJODE,
AGE: 66 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,
R/O 7-2-161, RANGREJ GALLLI
BIDAR-585401.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI.GANESH SUBHASHCHANDRA KALBURGI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
M.S. BUILDING, BENGALURU-01.
2. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BIDAR-585401.
3. THE ASST. COMMISSIONER
BIDAR-585401.
4. THE TAHSILDAR
TAHASIL OFFICE, BIDAR-585401.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.VIRANAGOUDA M.BIRADAR, ADVOCATE)





THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION, DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS, PARTICULARLY RESPONDENT NOS.2 TO 4 TO CONSIDER AND DISPOSE OF THE PETITIONERS REPRESENTATIONS DATED 02.01.2026 AND 06.02.2026 SEEKING REMOVAL OF THE FLAG/REMARK SHOWING THE PETITIONERS LAND AS GOVERNMENT LAND IN THE BHOOMI/MOJINI PORTAL IN RESPECT OF LAND BEARING SY.NO.48/A3 (NOW RENUMBERED AS 48*/2 AFTER PHODI) MEASURING 29 GUNTAS SITUATED AT GULLAR HAVELI TQ. AND DIST. BIDAR WITHIN A TIME BOUND PERIOD. II) DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO REMOVE THE ERRONEOUS FLAG/REMARK OF GOVERNMENT LAND IN THE BHOOMI / MOJINI PORTAL IN RESPECT OF THE AFORESAID LAND, IN TERMS OF THE REVENUE INSPECTOR'S REPORT DATED 28.10.2025 AND THE COMMUNICATION OF THE TAHSILDAR, BIDAR DATED 26.11.2025 THEREBY ENABLING THE PETITIONER TO APPLY FOR AND OBTAIN 11E SKETCH.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR

ORAL ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for the respondents.

3. Petitioner is the absolute owner in lawful possession of land measuring 29 guntas in Sy.No.48/A3,



(now renumbered as 48*/2 after phodi) situated at Gullar Haveli, taluk and district Bidar.

4. It is the contention of learned counsel that the ownership and possession of the petitioner over the land stands conclusively established by a series of judicial proceedings culminating in judgments passed by the competent civil Courts and affirmed by this Court which have attained finality. The name of the petitioner has been entered in the mutation register by virtue of proceedings before the revenue authorities. However, it is contended that despite the revenue entries being corrected and the petitioner applied for issuance of Form 11-E sketch, which is now completely digitalized by the respondent-State through the Bhoomi/Mojini portal maintained in the State of Karnataka, the petitioner made an application through online portal to remove the flag/remark describing petitioner's land as 'Government Land'. The same has not been rectified, despite the Revenue Inspector giving a recommendation and



submitting a report for removal of the entry of the Government land shown in 11-E sketch. Hence, petitioner left with no alternative for non-consideration of the representation approached this Court.

5. Learned Additional Government Advocate representing the State submits that representation of the petitioner would be considered in accordance with law since all the legal proceedings have been culminated if reasonable time is granted.

6. Accordingly, in view of the submission and the factual aspect that the judicial proceedings have been concluded declaring the petitioner to be the owner including the revenue entries made in the name of petitioner, it is only the 11-E sketch which requires to be rectified removing the name of 'Government Land'. Accordingly, the representation nevertheless would have to be considered by the Government in accordance to law. Hence, I pass the following:



ORDER

- i. Writ petition is ***allowed***.
- ii. Writ of mandamus is issued directing respondent Nos.2 and 4 to consider the representations dated 02.01.2026 and 06.02.2026 within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
- iii. Needless to mention that while considering the representations, the recommendation and the report of the Revenue Inspector and the Tahasildar shall be taken on into consideration.

Sd/-
(PRADEEP SINGH YERUR)
JUDGE

VNR
List No.: 2 SI No.: 4
CT:SI