



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

AND

THE HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA

WRIT APPEAL NO. 200043 OF 2019 (L-RES)

BETWEEN:

MALLIKARJUN
S/O CHANDRASHEKHAR,
AGE: 48 YEARS,
OCC: EX-DRIVER,
R/O: RAJASHREE CEMENT FACTORY,
CHITTAVALIPET, TQ: CHITTAPUR,
DIST: KALABURAGI – 585 211.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI. B.K.HIREMATH, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. RAJASHREE CEMENTS
THROUGH ITS GENERAL MANAGER,
MALKHED ROAD, ADITYA NAGAR,
SEDAM, TQ: DIST: GULBARGA – 585 222.
2. PRABHU MARATHE
CONTRACTOR, RAJASHREE CEMENT WORKS,
MALKHED ROAD, ADITYA NAGAR,
SEDAM, TQ: DIST: GULBARGA – 585 222.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SACHIN M.MAHAJAN, ADVOCATE FOR R1;
V/O DATED 16.02.2021 APPEAL AGAINST R2 IS ABATED)

THIS WRIT APPEAL IS FILED UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE
KARNATAKA HIGH COURT ACT, PRAYING TO ALLOW THE APPEAL
AND SET ASIDE THE ORDER PASSED BY THE SINGLE JUDGE IN



WP.NO.82275/2011 DATED 05.07.2018 AND DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS NO.1 AND 2 TO CONSIDER THE GRIEVANCE OF THE APPELLANT AND REINSTATE THE APPELLANT AND PAY BACKWAGES AND OTHER BENEFITS TO THE APPELLANT, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR HEARING, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ
and
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)

1. The appellant is before this court seeking for the following reliefs':

Hence, it is most humbly prayed that, this Hon'ble Court be pleased to allow the appeal and set aside the order passed by the Single Judge in wp.No.82275/2011 dated 05.07.2018 and direct the respondents No.1 and 2 to consider the grievance of the appellant and reinstate the appellant and pay backwages and other benefits to the appellant, in the interest of justice.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant has filed a memo which reads as under:

"That, in the above mentioned case the counsel of the appellant herewith submits that, the sole appellant expired and hence the above matter become infructuous and accordingly the Writ Appeal be dismissed, in the interest of justice."



3. Accepting the memo and the submission of the learned counsel for the appellant, the appeal is ***dismissed*** as infructuous.

Sd/-
(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(DR.CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA)
JUDGE

THM
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 19