



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
KALABURAGI BENCH**

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR

WRIT PETITION NO.200842 OF 2026 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

MOHAMMED AZMATH
S/O MOHAMMED MAHBOOB,
AGE: 46 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,
R/O H.NO.9-192
NEAR NOOR MASJID, KAMTHANA
BUS STAND, KAMTHANA,
TQ. AND DIST. BIDAR-585413.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. VENKATESH C. MALLABADI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
REP. BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY
VIDHAN SOUDHA, BENGALURU-560001.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
NANDI COLONY, BIDAR-585401.
3. THE ASST. COMMISSIONER,
NANDI COLONY, BIDAR-585401.
4. THE TAHSILDAR,
COURT ROAD, NANDI COLONY,
BIDAR-585401.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIRANAGOUDA M. BIRADAR, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO DIRECT RESPONDENTS
NOS.2 TO 4 TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION DATED
14.01.2026, THE COPY OF WHICH IS PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-C.





THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING,
THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR

ORAL ORDER

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Learned Additional Government Advocate is directed to take notice for the respondents.

3. Petitioner is the absolute owner and in possession of land bearing Survey No.54/3 measuring 03 acres 10 guntas of Kamthana, Bidar. The land is a patta land and the same is enjoyed by the petitioner without any interference. Petitioner intends to part with the portion of the land and convert some portion of the land to non-agricultural purpose. For which the petitioner enquired with the Tahsildar office for collecting certain documents. But, to the shock and surprise of the petitioner, the revenue portal raised a red flag on the land revenue documents of the petitioner and restricted any transactions. Petitioner, in fact, has submitted all the



documents with respect to encumbrance, the requisite title and revenue records of his patta land for verification. He sought for removing the error in the online portal by removing the red flag with respect to his land which probably has crept in due to a technical error. Hence, he submitted representation on 14.01.2026. However, the representation has not been considered by the respondent authorities. Hence, he is before this court.

4. Learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the respondent-State submits that the representation so made by the petitioner would be considered in accordance with law, if reasonable time is granted.

5. Placing the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the respondents, this petition deserves to be allowed.

6. Accordingly, I pass the following:



ORDER

- i. The writ petition is allowed.
- ii. Writ of mandamus is issued directing respondent Nos.2 to 4 to consider the representation dated 14.01.2026 at Annexure-C within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Sd/-
(PRADEEP SINGH YERUR)
JUDGE

NB
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 22
CT:SI