



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR

WRIT PETITION NO.200655 OF 2026 (S-R)

BETWEEN:

SAFIYA BEGUM
W/O KHAZI MUBEEN,
AGE: 71 YEARS, OCC: RTD. SENIOR TYPIST
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OFFICE, BIDAR
R/O H.NO.7-4-31, RAWAL GALLI, BIDAR
TQ. AND DIST. BIDAR-585401.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. JAIRAJ KASHAPPA BUKKA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY IT PRL. SECRETARY,
FINANCE DEPARTMENT,
M. S. BUILDING, BENGALURU-560001.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
D. C. OFFICE NEAR LAVANYA FUNCTION HALL
CHICKPET, BIDAR-585401.
3. THE PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
(A & E) KARNATAKA KABBAN PARK
HOUSE ROAD, BANGALORE-560001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. MALLIKARJUN SAHUKAR, AGA FOR R1 & R2;
SRI. SUDHIRSINGH R. VIJAPUR., DSGI FOR R3)





THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT AUTHORITIES TO CONSIDER THE PETITIONER REPRESENTATION DATED 09.02.2026 VIDE ANNEXURE-C, B) ISSUE WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT AUTHORITIES AFTER COMPLETION 10 YEARS 8 MONTHS THE DEDUCTION SHALL BE STOPPED AND THE FULL PENSION SHALL BE RESTORED FROM 14.03.2026 INSTEAD OF 15 YEARS AND ALSO THE SAME IS TO BE RECTIFIED IN THE PENSION PAYMENT ORDER, C) ISSUE A WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT AUTHORITY UNTIL SUCH REPRESENTATION IS CONSIDERED AND ORDER IS PASSED NO RECOVERY CAN BE MADE FROM THE PETITIONER, D) ISSUE WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT AUTHORITIES TO PAY THE FULL PENSION AND EXTEND ALL THE CONSEQUENTIAL BENEFITS TO THE PETITIONER ARISING THERETO, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY. E) ISSUE A WRIT OR ANY OTHER ORDER DIRECTION AS DEEMS FIT IN THE PRESENT CIRCUMSTANCE OF THE CASE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR



ORAL ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Learned Additional Government Advocate is directed to take notice for respondent Nos.1 and 2. Sri.Sudhirsingh R.Vijapur, learned Deputy Solicitor General of India is directed to take notice for respondent No.3.

3. Petitioner was Senior Typist at Deputy Commissioner Office, Bidar and after attaining superannuation, she filed pension papers before the respondent authorities seeking to disburse her pension. Based on which, the respondent authorities granted the pension and set the pension for the amount of Rs.15,100/- commuted pension was set at Rs.5,033/- and the commuted amount of Rs.5,033/- is to be deducted upto 13.08.2030 starting from 14.08.2015.

4. Petitioner made a representation dated 09.02.2026 before the respondent authorities seeking reduction of the deduction period for the commutation



amount from 15 years to 10 years and 8 months. However, the same was not considered. Hence, the petitioner is perforced to approach this Court.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court at Dharwad Bench in W.P.No.105244/2025 and the Principal Bench, Bengaluru, in W.P.No.23448/2024 have considered similar prayer of other employees and stayed the deduction from the pension. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in W.P.No.108193/2025 at Dharwad Bench and W.P.No.19172/2024 at the Principal Bench, Bengaluru, passed an order directing the respondent authorities to consider the representation of the petitioners therein. Therefore, the petitioner seeks similar order in her favour, as she is similarly placed as that of those petitioners. In view of non-consideration of the representation so made by the petitioner dated 09.02.2026 at Annexure-C, she is before this Court.



6. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for respondent-State and on perusal of the orders relied upon by the petitioner which are annexed to the petition, this Court deems it appropriate to allow the petition with a direction to consider petitioner's representation dated 09.02.2026, in the manner known to law and in accordance to the similar orders passed by this Court on the earlier occasion.

7. Accordingly, I pass the following:

ORDER

- i. The petition is ***allowed***.
- ii. The writ of mandamus is issued directing respondent No.2 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 09.02.2026 at Annexure-C and pass suitable orders within a period of eight



weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

- iii. It is also needless to mention that no recovery proceedings shall be initiated until consideration of the representation of the petitioner. Petitioner is at liberty to produce any additional material in support of his case before the concerned authorities.

**Sd/-
(PRADEEP SINGH YERUR)
JUDGE**

VNR
LIST NO.: 2 SL NO.: 3
CT:SI