



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA**

**KALABURAGI BENCH**

**DATED THIS THE 25<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026**

**PRESENT**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.NATARAJ**

**AND**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY**

**CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 200029 OF 2026**

**BETWEEN:**

1. SABANNA  
S/O DODDA BHEEMAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS,  
OCC: AGRICULTURE,  
R/O: BELGERA VILLAGE,  
TQ: AND DIST: YADGIRI.

HANMANTH  
S/O DODDA BHEEMAPPA  
DEAD BY LRS

2. SABANNA  
S/O HANAMANTH  
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS,  
OCC: AGRICULTURE,  
R/O: BELGERA VILLAGE,  
TQ: AND DIST: YADGIRI.

3. IRAPPA  
S/O HANAMANTH,  
AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS,  
OCC: AGRICULTURE,  
R/O: BELGERA VILLAGE,  
TQ: AND DIST: YADGIRI.

4. LAXMAN





S/O HANAMANTH,  
AGED ABOUT 28 YEARS,  
OCC: AGRICULTURE,  
R/O: BELGERA VILLAGE,  
TQ: AND DIST: YADGIRI.

...COMPLAINANTS

(BY SRI SANGANABASAV B. PATIL, ADVOCATE FOR  
SRI REVANASIDDAPPA JAGADEVAPPA BHUSARE, ADVOCATES)

**AND:**

1. MAHANTAPPA  
THE ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
MINOR IRRIGATION SUB-DIVISION,  
YADGIRI – 585 101.
2. SMT. PARVATI  
THE SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER  
MINOR AND MEDIUM IRRIGATION PROJECT  
VIKAS BHAVAN, KALABURAGI – 585 102.

...ACCUSED / RESPONDENTS

3. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,  
BY ITS SECRETARY,  
VIDHAN SOUDHA, BANGALORE – 01.

..... PERFORMA PARTY

(BY SRI MALLIKARJUN C. BASAREDDY, GA)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTION 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT READ WITH ARTICLE 215 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO INITIATE CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE ACCUSED/RESPONDENT FOR HAVING VIOLATED THE UNDERTAKING GIVEN BEFORE THIS HON'BLE COURT IN CCC NO. 200236/2024 DATED 29.04.2025 VIDE ANNEXURE-G AND PUNISH THE RESPONDENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.NATARAJ  
and  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY

**ORAL ORDER**

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.NATARAJ)

This contempt petition is filed alleging non-compliance of the order dated 29.04.2025 passed by this Court in CCC.No.200236/2024.

2. The complainants had approached this Court in WP.No.203214/2023 seeking for a direction to consider their representations in accordance with law. The said writ petition was disposed of in terms of an order dated 01.12.2023. It is claimed that the representation of the complainants is not considered and, therefore, the complainants are before this Court.

3. The learned Principal Government Advocate has today filed a memo and has enclosed therewith copies of the notification which shows that the representation of the complainants was considered and a notification was issued



under Section 11(1) of Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement (RFCTLARR) Act, 2013.

4. In that view of the matter, this contempt petition does not survive for consideration. Accordingly, the contempt petition is ***closed***.

5. The respondents are directed to go ahead with the acquisition in accordance with law.

**Sd/-  
(R.NATARAJ)  
JUDGE**

**Sd/-  
(TYAGARAJA N. INAVALLY)  
JUDGE**

SHS  
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 30