



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 29TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.DEVDAS

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRUNGESH M

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 200014 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

SRI. ARJUN S/O ERAPPA RAMKHANE
AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE
R/O: H.NO.13-1-154 (LADAGERI)
HALADKERI-K, BIDAR 585 401.

...COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI. RAVI B PATIL, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SMT.SHILPA SHARMA
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BIDAR
D.C OFFICE, BIDAR – 585 401.
2. SHAKEEL AHMED
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER BIDAR,
A.C. OFFICE BIDAR 585 401.
3. SRI RAVINDRA DAMA,
TAHASILDAR BIDAR
TAHASIL OFFICE BIDAR – 585 401.

...ACCUSED / RESPONDENTS

4. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
M.S.BUILDING, BENGALURU – 560 027.

....PROFARMA PARTY

(BY SRI. MALLIKARJUN C. BASAREDDY, AGA)





THIS CCC IS FILED U/SEC. 2(b) R/W 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT, PRAYING TO, INITIATE PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE RESPONDENT / ACCUSED NO. 1 TO 3 FOR COMMITTING CONTEMPT OF LAWFUL ORDER PASSED IN WRIT PETITION NO.202655/2025 DATED 04.09.2025 VIDE ANNEXURE-A AND PUNISH THE ACCUSED NO. 1 TO 3 IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.DEVDAS
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRUNGESH M

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.DEVDAS)

The grievance of the complainant is in respect of the illegal entries made in Column No.11 and the non-compliance of the directions issued by the learned Single Judge in W.P.No.202655/2025 dated 04.09.2025.

2. During the course of these proceedings, the note put up in column No.11 was removed. However, it was contented that a restriction has been put in the Bhoomi Online software which would prevent the complainant from transacting with the property in question. We had therefore directed the concerned Tahsildar to be present before this Court.



3. On the previous date of hearing, the Tahsildar-Sri Ravindra Dama was present before this Court and sought for some time to remove the restrictions put in the Bhoomi software.

4. Today, the learned Government Advocate has filed a memo enclosing the orders passed by the Assistant Commissioner and as a consequence, the restriction put in the Bhoomi Online software insofar as the land in question is concerned, has been removed.

5. Copies of the same are served on the learned Counsel for the complainant.

6. Accordingly, the Contempt petition is dropped.

Sd/-
(R.DEVDAS)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(BRUNGESH M)
JUDGE