



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD
DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M
WRIT PETITION NO.100030 OF 2022 (LB-RES)

BETWEEN:

YALLAWWA SHRISHAIL TALAWAR,
AGE. 50 YEARS, OCC. HOUSE WIFE,
R/O. KONNUR VILLAGE,
JAMAKHANDI TALUKA,
DIST. BAGALKOT-587 121.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SRINIVAS.B.NAIK, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE ZILLA PANCHAYAT, BAGALKOT,
R/BY PRESIDENT, NAVANAGAR,
BAGALKOT-587 103.
2. THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
TALUKA PANCHAYAT,
JAMKHANDI-587 301.
3. THE PANCHAYAT DEVELOPMENT OFFICER,
GRAM PANCHAYAT, KONNUR-587 121.
4. SEETAWWA
CLAIMING TO BE WIFE OF SRISHAIL TALAWAR,
AGE. 45 YEARS, OCC. HOUSE WIFE,
R/O. KONNUR VILLAGE,
TALUKA: JAMKHANDI,
DIST: BAGALKOT-587 121.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. BHUSHAN.B.KULKARNI, ADVOCATE FOR R1 TO R2;
NOTICE TO R3 TO R4 IS SERVED AND UNREPRESENTED)



THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Sri.Srinivas B.Naik., counsel for the petitioner and Sri.Bhushan B.Kulkarni., counsel for respondents 1 and 2 have appeared in person.

2. The Writ petition is filed seeking the following reliefs:

"Issue Writ of Certiorari or any other order or direction, and quash the impugned order No.ಜಿ.ಪಂ.ಬಾ/ಅಡಳಿತ/ಎಡಿ/ನಂ.14/207-18 dated 03.03.2021 passed by 1st respondent vide Annexure-F in the ends of justice and equity.

ii) And grant such other relief or reliefs as this Hon'ble Court deems fit to grant in the circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice and equity."

3. The brief facts of the case are that a resolution was passed by the Gram Panchayat to enter the name of the fourth respondent in the Village Panchayat records. Being aggrieved by the said resolution, the petitioner preferred an appeal before the Executive Officer, Taluk Panchayat, Jamakhandi, under Section



269 of the Karnataka Gram Swaraj and Panchayat Raj Act. The second respondent, by order dated 06.03.2017, set aside the said resolution.

Aggrieved by the said order, the fourth respondent preferred a revision petition before the President, Zilla Panchayat, Bagalkot. The President, Zilla Panchayat, Bagalkot, by order dated 03.03.2021, set aside the order passed by the Executive Officer, Taluk Panchayat, Jamakhandi. Challenging the same, the petitioner is before this Court.

4. Counsel for the petitioner, Sri Srinivas B. Naik, contends that the order passed by the Executive Officer, Taluk Panchayat, Jamakhandi, is final under the provisions of the Act, and therefore, the fourth respondent could not have preferred a revision petition before the President, Zilla Panchayat, Bagalkot.

5. Counsel for respondents No.1 and 2, Sri Bhushan B. Kulkarni, fairly submits that the said legal position is correct.

6. As per the relevant provisions of the Act, the order passed by the Executive Officer is final, thereby rendering the revision petition filed by the fourth respondent before the



President of the Zilla Panchayat entirely misconceived and legally unsustainable. To be precise, in light of the statutory provisions, the Executive Officer's order attains finality, which strictly precludes the respondent from preferring a revision before the President of Zilla Panchayat.

7. Accordingly, the order dated 03.03.2021 passed by the President, Zilla Panchayat, Bagalkot, vide Annexure-F, is hereby set aside. The order dated 06.03.2017 passed by the Executive Officer, Taluk Panchayat, Jamakhandi, is restored.

8. Resultantly, the writ petition stands ***allowed***.

9. Ordered accordingly.

**Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE**

AM/-
CT:BCK
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 27