



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD
DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S. KINAGI
WRIT PETITION NO. 109601 OF 2025 (GM-FC)

BETWEEN:

1. CHANNABASAPPA
S/O DURGAPPA MADAR
AGE. 48 YEARS, OCC. COOLIE
(WRONGLY SHOWN AS BUSINESS)
R/O. KAMADATTA, TQ. HUNAGUNDA,
DIST. BAGALKOT-587118

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. J S SHETTY, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SMT. KAVITA W/O CHANNABASAPPA
MADAR @ RENUKA
D/O PAKEERAPPA LINGAPUR,
AGE. 44 YEARS, OCC. HOUSEHOLD,
R/O. KAMADATTTA, TQ. HUNAGUND,
DIST. BAGALKOT,
PRESENTLY R/AT C/O NEELAMMA ALUR,
GANDHI NAGAR, KOPPAL,
TQ. AND DIST. KOPPAL-583231.
2. KUMAR PAVANAKUMAR
S/O CHANNABASAPPA MELINAMANI
AGE. 17 YEARS,
R/O. KAMADATTA, TQ. HUNAGUND,
DIST. BAGALKOT,
PRESENTLY R/AT C/O NEELAMMA ALUR,
GANDHI NAGAR, KOPPAL,
TQ. AND DIST. KOPPAL-587231.
3. KUMARI PALLAVI
D/O CHANNABASAPPA MELINAMANI
AGE. 16 YEARS, R/O. KAMADATTA,

MOHANKUMAR
B SHELAR



Digitally signed by
MOHANKUMAR B
SHELAR

Date: 2026.04.06
12:06:05 +0530



TQ. HUNAGUND, DIST. BAGALKOT,
PRESENTLY R/AT C/O NEELAMMA ALUR,
GANDHI NAGAR, KOPPAL,
TQ. AND DIST. KOPPAL-583231.

4. KUMAR SRISHILA
S/O CHANNABASAPPA MELINAMANI
AGE. 13 YEARS, R/O. KAMADATTA,
TQ. HUNAGUND, DIST. BAGALKOT,
NOW AT C/O NEELAMMA ALUR,
GANDHI NAGAR, KOPPAL,
TQ. AND DIST. KOPPAL-583231.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. HANUMESH M. DESAI, ADV FOR R1 TO R4,
R2 TO R4 ARE MINORS REP BY R1)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO,

A) A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO QUASH THE ORDER DATED
25.03.2025 AND 01.09.2025 PASSED BY THE PRL. JUDGE, FAMILY
COURT, KOPPAL IN CRL. MISC NO. 166/2024, THE COPY OF WHICH
HAS BEEN PRODUCED HERewith AND MARKED AS ANNEXURE-A.

B) ANY OTHER WRIT WHICH THIS HON'BLE COURT DEEMS FIT TO
GRANT IN THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE MAY
KINDLY BE GRANTED IN FAVOUR OF THE PETITIONER BY ALLOWING
THIS WRIT PETITION WITH THE COST THROUGHOUT IN THE ENDS OF
JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B'
GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S. KINAGI)

1. Learned counsel for the parties submit that the parties have settled the matter before the Family Court.

2. In view of the same, nothing survives for consideration in this writ petition, and it may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

3. The submission is taken on record.

4. Accordingly, in view of the settlement between the parties, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

Sd/-
(ASHOK S. KINAGI)
JUDGE

AC
CT: BSB
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 57