



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD**

**DATED THIS THE 9<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF APRIL, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 103981 OF 2021 (LB-RES)**

**BETWEEN:**

1. BHARAT KUMAR S/O KUMARSWAMI,  
AGE: 25 YEARS, OCC: STUDENT,  
R/O. NEAR BAZAR KOTTUR,  
TQ: KOTTUR AND DIST: BALLARI.
2. M. PAVAN S/O KUMARSWAMI  
AGE: 27 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,  
R/O. NEAR BAZAR KOTTUR  
TQ: KOTTUR AND DIST: BALLARI.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI.H. M. DHARIGOND, ADVOCATE FOR  
SRI.SADIQ N. GOODWALA, ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA,  
DEPARTMENT OF MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION,  
BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,  
VIDHAN SOUDHA, BENGALURU-01.
2. PATTAN PANCHAYAT,  
KOTTUR TALUK,  
DIST: BALLARI,  
BY ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER.





3. KUMARSWAMI S/O. BHEEMAPPA KOTTUR,  
AGE: 52 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,  
R/O. WARD NO.4, KOTTUR TALUK,  
DIST: BALLARI.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.P. N. HATTI, HCGP FOR R1;  
SRI. SHIVARAJ HIREMATH, ADVOCATE FOR R2;  
NOTICE TO R3 IS SERVED AND UNREPRESENTED)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND  
227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN  
RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,  
AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

**ORAL ORDER**

Counsel Sri.H.M.Dharigond., on behalf of Sri.S.N.Goodwala., for  
petitioners, Sri.P.N.Hatti., HCGP for respondent No.1 and  
Sri.V.Shivaraj Hiremath., counsel for respondent No.2 have appeared  
in person.

2. The Writ Petition is filed seeking following reliefs:

*"(i) A writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the  
impugned notice dated 15.09.2021 issued by  
respondent No.2 IN NO./PA. PAM.COK/NOTICE/  
2021-22 vide Annexure-G.*

*(ii) Issue such other reliefs as this Hon'ble Court deems  
fit in the circumstances of the case."*



3. Counsel for the respective parties urged several contentions. Heard the arguments and perused the papers with care.

4. A communication has been issued to the petitioners, requiring them to furnish certain documents. The petitioners have hurriedly approached this Court without submitting a reply. Hence, this Court deems it proper to dispose of the petition by directing the petitioners to submit a suitable reply, along with the necessary documents, within six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Upon receipt of the reply, Respondent No. 2 is directed to consider the same and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***disposed of***.

5. Till the consideration of the reply and the passing of an appropriate order by Respondent No. 2, the respondents are directed not to precipitate the matter.

**Sd/-  
(JYOTI M)  
JUDGE**

RH  
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 53