



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

WRIT PETITION NO. 103924 OF 2021 (LB-RES)

BETWEEN:

P.K.M. GIRIJAMMA,
69 YEARS, W/O. P.K.M. CHENNAVEERASAB,
7TH WARD, SUNAGARAGERY,
HARAPANAHALLI-583 131,
VIJAYANAGAR DISTRICT.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. HARSH DESAI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
VIKASA SOUDHA,
BENGALURU-560 001.
2. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS,
SURVEY OFFICE, DC OFFICE COMPOUND,
BALLARI-583 103.
3. THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS,
HARAPANAHALLI-583 131,
VIJAYANAGARA DISTRICT.
4. THE NEELAGUNDA GRAM PANCHAYAT,
NEELAGUNDA-583 213,
HARAPANAHALLI TALUK,
VIJAYANAGARA DISTRICT,
BY ITS PANCHAYAT DEVELOPMENT OFFICER.



5. T.M. VEERESHSWAMY
MAJOR, S/O. T.M. MANJUNATH,
BANAGARA PETE, NEAR JAIN TEMPLE,
HARAPANAHALLI-583 131,
VIJAYANAGARA DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. P.N. HATTI, HCGP FOR R1 TO R3;
SRI. V. SHIVARAJ HIREMATH, ADVOCATE FOR R4;
SRI. J.S. SHETTY, ADVOCATE FOR R5)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR PRELIMINARY
HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS
UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Sri. Harsh Desai, counsel for the petitioner, Sri.P.N.Hatti,
HCGP for respondents 1 to 3 and Sri.J.S.Shetty, counsel for
respondent No.5, appeared in person.

Sri.V.Shivaraj Hiremath, counsel for respondent No.4, has
appeared through video conferencing.

2. The present writ petition is filed seeking issuance of a
writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No. 3 to
refrain from interfering with the petitioner's peaceful possession
and enjoyment of the schedule property and/or from demolishing



the 5-foot-high stone compound wall enclosing the same, without following due process of law, in respect of land bearing Sy. No. 12, measuring 6 acres out of a total extent of 8 acres 43 cents, situated at Anantanahalli Village, Harapanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagar District.

3. Counsel appearing for the respective parties have advanced their contentions. The Court has heard the arguments at length and carefully perused the material available on record.

4. It is seen that the Assistant Director of Land Records (ADLR), by a communication dated 26.08.2021, had intimated to the petitioner to furnish certain documents. Pursuant thereto, the petitioner, by letter dated 06.09.2021, sought time to submit the required documents. Considering the said request, time was granted with a stipulation that, in the event of non-submission of the documents, a spot inspection would be conducted. An endorsement to that effect was issued on 08.09.2021.

5. The Government Advocate, on instructions, submits that as the matter is presently sub judice before this Court, the



authorities are not in a position to conduct a spot inspection at this stage.

6. The counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that if a short period of time is granted, the petitioner would furnish the requisite documents and extend full cooperation to the authorities.

7. Taking note of the aforesaid submissions, this writ petition is disposed of with the following directions:

(i) The petitioner shall furnish the required documents to the Assistant Director of Land Records within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(ii) Upon receipt of the said documents, the Assistant Director of Land Records shall proceed further in accordance with the law.

8. It is made clear that, as the petitioner is presently protected by virtue of an interim order granted by this Court, the



**NC: 2026:KHC-D:4415
WP No. 103924 of 2021**

same shall continue to operate until appropriate action is taken by the competent authority in accordance with law.

9. With the above observations and directions, the writ petition stands ***disposed of***.

**Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE**

MRP
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 55