



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 15TH DAY OF APRIL 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.M.SHYAM PRASAD

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVASHANKAR AMARANNAVAR

MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL NO.106396 OF 2024 (FC)

BETWEEN:

SRI. BASAPPA S/O. CHOUDAPPA YERALADINNI
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
OCC: LECTURER GOVERNMENT
TEACHERS TRAINING CENTRE,
OPP. KALABHAVAN, COLLEGE ROAD,
DHARWAD, TQ: AND DIST: DHARWAD.

... APPELLANT

(BY MS. SITALAXMI B.PURLI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

SMT. PRIYA W/O. BASAPPA YERALADINNI
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
OCC: GOVERNMENT SERVICE,
R/O. C/O. BASAVANNEPPA MULLAGASI,
FLAT NO.103, 1ST FLOOR,
VIJAYALAXMI ARCADE,
LOKAMANYA SAHAKARI BANK,
GODESEWADI, BELAGAVI.

VISHAL
NINGAPPA
PATTIHAL

Digitally signed by
VISHAL NINGAPPA
PATTIHAL
Location: HIGH COURT
OF KARNATAKA
DHARWAD BENCH



PRESENT ADDRESS:
PRIYA P.SATTIGERI,
LECTURER OF HISTORY,
GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF
PRE-UNIVERSITY,
YAKKUNDI, TQ: SAVADATTI,
DIST: BELAGAVI-591111.

... RESPONDENT

(BY MS. SHREYA KULKARNI, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. IRANAGOUDA K.KABBUR, ADVOCATE)

THIS MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL IS FILED
UNDER SECTION 19(1) OF THE FAMILY COURT ACT 1984,
AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 21.10.2024,
PASSED IN MATRIMONIAL CASE NO.135/2020 ON THE FILE
OF THE PRINCIPAL JUDGE FAMILY COURT BELAGAVI,
ALLOWING THE PETITION FILED UNDER SECTION 13(1)(A)
OF THE HINDU MARRIAGE ACT; AND ETC.

THIS APPEAL COMING ON FOR ADMISSION THIS DAY,
JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.M.SHYAM PRASAD
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVASHANKAR
AMARANNAVAR



ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.M.SHYAM PRASAD)

The appellant is aggrieved by the judgment and decree of divorce dated 21.10.2024 in M.C. No.135 of 2020 on the file of the Principal Judge, Family Court, Belagavi. The Family Court has dissolved the marriage between the appellant and the respondent solemnized on 04.12.2011.

The appellant filed this appeal in the year 2024 but has not pursued the appeal. In fact, the office objections were attended to almost after a year. None appears for the appellant even today. This Court can only infer a lack of interest in prosecuting the appeal, and hence, the appeal stands dismissed for default.

**Sd/-
(B.M.SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(SHIVASHANKAR AMARANNAVAR)
JUDGE**

VNP/CT: VH
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 29