



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.SRISHANANDA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 105022 OF 2025

(482(CR.PC)/528(BNSS))

BETWEEN:

SHRI. PURSU IRA DURGEKAR
AGE ABOUT 64 YEARS, OCC. FISH BUSINESS,
R/O. KENI ANKOLA,
TALUK ANKOLA,
DIST. UTTAR KANNADA-581314.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. S.S. NIRANJAN, ADVOCATE)

AND:

SHREERAM PARISARA CREDIT
SOUHARDA SAHAKARI LIMITED
R/BY ITS OFFICE ASSISTANT AND
AUTHORIZED PERSON,
KARWAR ROAD,
ANKOLA DIST. UTTAR KANNADA-581314.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. R.H. ANGADI, ADVOCATE)

THIS CRIMINAL PETITION IS FILED U/S 482 OF CR.P.C. (528 OF BNSS), PRAYING TO QUASH THE COMPLAINT AND CHARGE SHEET IN C.C NO.189/2024 (598/2021) ON THE FILE OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE COURT, ANKOLA DISTRICT UTTARA KANNADA UNDER SECTION 138 OF N.I. ACT AGAINST PETITIONER/ACCUSED, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:





ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.SRISHANANDA)

None appears for the petitioner. Even on the last day of hearing, there was no representation.

2. Accused is the petitioner challenging the order taking cognizance and issue of process.

3. Taking note of the fact that the petitioner is consistently absent and not diligent in prosecuting the matter and the grounds urged in the petition are of the defence which are to be urged in view of the principles of law enunciated in ***Indian Bank Association and others v. Union of India and others*** reported in ***(2014) 5 SCC 590***, following:

ORDER

Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

**Sd/-
(V.SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE**

CLK
CT-CMU
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 88