



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.SRISHANANDA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 104078 OF 2025

(482(CR.PC)/528(BNSS))

BETWEEN:

1. HANUMANTHAPPA @ HANUMANTHA S/O. DODDA
YANKAPPA
OCC. FARMER, AGE 63 YEARS,
R/O. WARD NO.1, VADDARA ONI
KENSHANAGUDDA, TQ SIRUGUPPA
DIST BELLARI-583121.
2. HOTEL YANKAPPA S/O. ERANNA
AGE 52 YEARS, OCC. FARMER
R/O. HOUSE NO. 238,
WARD NO.2 MAIN ROAD,
HALE OORU, CHIKKABALLARI VILLAGE
TQ SIRUGUPPA, DIST BALLARI-583121.
3. NAGALINGA S/O. YENKAPPA
AGE 25 YEARS, OCC. FARMER,
R/O. WARD NO.2, MAIN ROAD, HALE OORU
CHIKKABALLARI VILLAGE
TQ SIRUGUPPA, DIST BALLARI-583121.
4. T. BHEEMAPPA S/O. THIMMANNA @ THIMMAPPA
AGE 50 YEARS, OCC FARMER,
R/O. WARD NO.2 MAIN ROAD,
HALE OORU, CHIKKABALLARI VILLAGE
TQ SIRUGUPPA, DIST BALLARI-583121.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SABEEL AHMED, ADVOCATE)-ABSENT





AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS,
STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, DHARWAD-580008,
THROUGH PSI, SIRUGUPPA POLICE STATION,
TQ SIRUGUPPA, DIST BALLARI-583121.
2. ADISHAKTI DURGADEVI GOUSHALA, ANEGUNDI
REGISTERED, THROUGH ITS AUTHORISED
REPRESENTATIVE
SRI. CHANNABASAVA B.K.S S/O. BASAVARAJAPPA B.
AGE 41 YEARS,
R/O. NEAR RAMALINGESHWARA TEMPLE,
BAGGURU VILLAGE, RARAVI POST,
TQ SIRUGUPPA, DIST BALLARI-583121.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. KIRTELATA R. PATIL, HCGP FOR R1;
SRI. ANOOP G. DESHPANDE, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL PETITION IS FILED U/S 482 OF CR.P.C.
(U/S. 528 OF BNSS, 2023) SEEKING TO SET ASIDE IMPUGNED
ORDERS DATED 19.08.2025 PASSED IN CRL.RP NO. 12/2024
ON THE FILE OF 2ND ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT
BALLARI AS PER ANNEXURE-H AND CONSEQUENTLY TO
RESTORE THE ORDERS DATED 22.01.2024 PASSED BY CIVIL
JUDGE AND JMFC AT SIRUGUPPA IN CRIME NO. 02/2024 AT
ANNEXURE-D IN RESPECT OF PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS 2 TO
5, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.SRISHANANDA)

None appears for the petitioner. Even on the last date of hearing, there was no representation.

Application is filed by respondent No.2 seeking vacating the interim order.

Order sheet discloses that petitioner is consistently absent before the Court and not shown any interest in prosecuting the matter diligently.

Accordingly, petition stands ***dismissed for non prosecution.***

Sd/-
(V.SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE

KAV
CT-CMU
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 66