



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.SRISHANANDA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 101571 OF 2021

(482(CR.PC)/528(BNSS))

BETWEEN:

1. BASAVARAJ @ BASAVARAJ ACHARI BADIGER
S/O SHANKAR ACHARI,
AGED 31 YEARS,
BRANCH MANAGER, ESF BANK,
WARD NO.24, HOUSE NO. D BLOCK,
OLD POST OFFICE,
HOSAPETE-583201.
2. RAMESH @ RAMESH MEDDER
S/O CHIKKAPPA MEDDER,
AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS,
CLUSTER MANAGER, ESF BANK,
R/O. 11 CROSS,
NEAR GANDHI NAGARA,
SHANKARALING NAGARA,
GADAG-582101.
3. EKANTHAPPA P.,
S/O. PARAMESHWAR
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
BRANCH MANAGER, ESF BANK,
R/O. WARD NO.11, THYAGARAJ,
NEW BYDAR HATTI PAMPA HOUSE,
CHALLAKERE,
DIST. CHITRADURGA-577522.
4. RAGHAVENDRA M.G.
S/O GANGADHARA SHETTY M.T.
AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS,
DEPUTY DIVISIONAL MANAGER
IN ESF BANK,
R/O. IYYANAPETE,





NC: 2026:KHC-D:2922
CRL.P No. 101571 of 2021

OPP. BASAVESWARA KALYANAMANTAPA,
CHIKKIPET,
TALUK AND DISTRICT CHITRADURGA- 503185.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. AMRUTH V. JOIS, SRI. S.A.BIRANAGI AND
SRI. M.Y. DESHPANDE, ADVOCATES)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY POLICE INSPECTOR,
TOWN POLICE STATION, HOSAPETE
REPRESENTED BY THE STATE
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING, DHARWAD.
2. SMT. SANDYA B.M. @ SADHANA B.M.
W/O MAHESH B.M.
AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS,
R/O JAMBUNATHA ROAD,
NEAR BEERALINGESHWARA LAYOUT,
HOSPETE, DIST. BALLARI-583201.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. KIRTELATA R. PATIL, HCGP FOR R1;
SRI. ANWAR BASHA, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL PETITION IS FILED U/S 482 OF CR.P.C.,
SEEKING TO QUASH AND SET ASIDE THE CHARGE SHEET FILED IN
C.C. NO.08/2021 ON THE FILE OF PRL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND
JMFC, HOSPET, (AFTER COMMITTAL, THE MATTER IS COMMITTED TO
THE III ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT, HOSAPETE AND
NUMBERED AS S.C. NO.5110/2021) AGAINST THE PETITIONERS FOR
OFFENCES PUNISHABLE U/S 306, 504, 506 R/W SECTION 34, IN THE
INTEREST OF JUSTICE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR FINAL HEARING, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.SRISHANANDA)

None appears for the petitioners. Even on the last date of hearing, there was no representation.

2. Sufficient time has been granted for the petitioners to address the arguments.

3. The petitioners are accused of the offences under Section 306, 504, 506 read with Section 34 IPC.

4. Hence, there is no justification in granting further time.

5. The trial before the Trial Court has been stalled since the year 2021. Hence, petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

**Sd/-
(V.SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE**

CLK
CT-CMU
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 84