



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD
DATED THIS THE 3RD DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.SRISHANANDA
CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO. 100144 OF 2021
(397(CR.PC)/438(BNSS))
C/W
CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO. 100142 OF 2021

IN CRL.RP.NO.100144/2021:
BETWEEN:

VINAYAK S/O JOTIBA MULE
AGE. 36 YEARS, OCC. COOLIE,
R/O GANDHI NAGAR, KALAGHATAGI,
TQ KALAGHATAGI, DIST. DHARWAD.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. ASHOK T. KATTIMANI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

SHRI. SHIVALINGAYYA S/O PANCHAYYA HIREMATH
AGE. 69 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. GOUNDI, TQ. SAUNDATTI, DIST. BELAGAVI.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. GURURAJ R. TURAMARI, ADVOCATE)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED UNDER SECTION 397 OF R/W SECTION 401 OF CR.P.C., PRAYING TO CALL FOR RECORDS, PERUSE THE SAME AND SET-ASIDE THE JUDGMENT DATED 11.02.2021 PASSED BY THE 4TH ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, BELAGAVI IN CRL.A.NO.212/2020 AND THE JUDGMENT DATED 23.10.2020 PASSED BY THE 2ND ADDITIONAL CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC





NC: 2026:KHC-D:1553
CRL.RP No. 100144 of 2021
C/W CRL.RP No. 100142 of 2021

SAUNDATTI IN C.C.NO.887/2017 FOR OFFENCES PUNISHABLE
U/SEC.138 OF N.I. ACT.

IN CRL.RP.NO.100142/2021

BETWEEN:

VINAYAK S/O JOTIBA MULE
AGE. 36 YEARS, OCC. COOLIE,
R/O GANDHI NAGAR, KALAGHATAGI,
TQ. KALAGHATAGI, DIST. DHARWAD.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. ASHOK T. KATTIMANI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

SHRI. JAGDISH S/O SHIVALINGAYYA HIEMATH
AGE. 36 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. GOUNDI, TQ. SAUNDATTI, DIST. BELAGAVI.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. GURURAJ R. TURAMARI, ADVOCATE)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED UNDER
SECTION 397 OF R/W 401 OF CR.P.C., PRAYING TO CALL FOR
RECORDS, PERUSE THE SAME AND SET ASIDE THE JUDGMENT
AS THE PETITIONER WAS GUILTY OF OFFENCES PUNISHABLE
U/S 138 OF N.I. ACT, DATED 11.02.2021 PASSED BY THE 4TH
ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, BELAGAVI IN
CRL.A NO.211/2020 AND THE JUDGMENT DATED 23.10.2020
PASSED BY THE 2ND ADDITIONAL CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC,
SOUNDATTI IN C.C.NO.886/2017.

THESE CRIMINAL REVISION PETITIONS COMING ON FOR
FINAL HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS
UNDER:



ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.SRISHANANDA)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed the memo. The memo reads as under:

IN CRL.RP.No.100144/2021

"Herein the Advocate for petitioner begs to submit the memo as under:

*The petitioner has served the imprisonment for six months for order of Hon'ble IInd Additional Civil Judge and JMFC, Saundatti in CC No.887/2017 for issuance of conviction warrant. Hence the petition become infructuous.
Hence, this memo."*

IN CRL.RP.No.100142/2021

"Herein the Advocate for petitioner begs to submit the memo as under:

*The petitioner has served the punishment imposed by the order of Hon'ble IInd Additional Civil Judge and JMFC, Saundatti in CC No.886/2017 after issuance of conviction warrant. Hence the petition is infructuous.
Hence, this memo."*

Placing the memo on record, petitions are **dismissed** as they have rendered infructuous.

**Sd/-
(V.SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE**

KAV,
CT:CMU/LIST NO.: 4 SL NO.: 9