



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S. KINAGI

WRIT PETITION NO.106061 OF 2025 (GM-CPC)

BETWEEN:

SHRI. MARUTHI GURUJI S/O. GANESH BHAT,
AGE. 46 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. BANGARAMAKKI, A/P. GERASOPPA,
TQ. HONNAVAR, DIST. UTTAR KANNADA- 581384.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. DINESH M.KULKARNI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE GENERAL MANAGER,
THE TOTAGAR'S CO-OPERATIVE
SALE SOCIETY LIMITED, SIRSI-581401.
2. SHRI. RAMACHANDRA S/O. GANESH BHAT,
AGE. 48 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. BANGARAMAKKI, A/P. GERASOPPA,
TQ. HONNAVAR, DIST. UTTAR KANNADA-581384.
3. SMT. ARPITA W/O. MARUTHI GURUJI BHAT,
AGE. 37 YEARS, OCC. HOUSEWIFE,
R/O. BANGARAMAKKI, A/P. GERASOPPA,
TQ. HONNAVAR, DIST. UTTAR KANNADA-581384.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. A.P. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1;
NOTICE TO R2 AND R3 ARE DISPENSED WITH)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO A) ISSUE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI BY QUASHING THE ORDER DATED 30.07.2025 IN E.P.NO.53/2022 PASSED BY THE SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC COURT HONNAVAR VIDE ANNEXURE-H, AS NULL AND VOID. B) ISSUE A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE 1ST RESPONDENT TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION DATED 17.02.2025 SUBMITTED BY PETITIONER VIDE ANNEXURE-F AND PASS APPROPRIATE ORDERS IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY. C) ISSUE ANY OTHER WRIT OR DIRECTION / WRIT AS THIS HON'BLE COURT MAY DEEM FIT IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

MOHANKUMAR
B SHELAR



Digitally signed by
MOHANKUMAR B
SHELAR

Date: 2026.03.27
15:51:48 +0530



THIS WRIT PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S. KINAGI)

The petitioner is seeking writ of mandamus directing respondent No.1 to consider the representation dated 17.02.2025 submitted by the petitioner vide Annexure-F, and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

2. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1 submits that, if reasons time is granted, respondent No.1 would consider the representation submitted by the petitioner vide Annexure-F, and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law. He also submits that respondent No.1 will not execute the arrest warrant, till a decision is taken on the representation.

3. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for respondent No.1, the writ petition is disposed of, directing respondent No.1 to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner vide Annexure-F, by taking a



NC: 2026:KHC-D:4699
WP No. 106061 of 2025

lenient view, and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law, within a period of 15 days, after adjusting the payment, which has already been made by the petitioner.

Sd/-
(ASHOK S. KINAGI)
JUDGE

MBS
CT: UMD
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 53