

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT DHARWAD
[SMT BASAVALINGAMMA S M VS. STATE OF KARNATAKA AND
OTHERS]

20.08.2025
(VIDEO CONFERENCING / PHYSICAL HEARING)

CORAM: ADDL. REGISTRAR JUDICIAL

ORAL ORDER

The learned Counsel for the Petitioner is present.

1)The office objection No.52(2):

"52. 2) PETITIONER NAME NOT FORTH COMING IN THE QUASHING
ANNEXURES TO BE CLARIFIED.."

The learned Counsel for the Petitioner has submitted his
submission as follows:

"PETITIONER IS THE WIFE OF SHRI SANNAMEALLAPPA HALAPPA
S/O NAGAPPA, THE IMPUGNED NOTICE IS ISSUED BY
RESPONDENT NO. 3 TO HUSBAND OF THE PETITIONER, AT
PRESENT THE SANNAMEALLAPPA IS NOT AVAILABLE, THUS THIS
PETITION FILED BY THE WIFE OF THE SANNAMEALLAPPA. HENCE
ACCEPT THE SAME AND KINDLY OVER RULED THE OFFICE
OBJECTION TO MEET THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE."

Perused the case records.

The Writ Petition is filed to quash the notice issued as per
Annexure-C. The said notice is issued to Sri Sannamallappa Halappa.
The Petitioner claims to be the wife of the said persons. The learned
Counsel has submitted that, as the said person is not available at
present, the Petitioner being his wife has filed this writ petition.



The Petitioner has not stated anything about the non-available of her husband and as to why she is filing this writ petition on behalf of her husband.

The Petitioner has also not made the said person as the Respondent in this Writ Petition. Hence, the office has correctly raised this objection.


As this objection relates to the non-joinder of the party, the matter has to be placed before the Hon'ble Court for its decision on this objection.

2) Hence, the following

ORDER

The office objection at SL. No.52(2) along with the submission of the learned Advocate for the Petitioner and the above Office note is placed before the Hon'ble Court for its decision on the said office objection.

List the matter before the Hon'ble Court.



(ADDL. REGISTRAR JUDICIAL)