



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. MURALIDHARA PAI

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 100331 OF 2024 (CIVIL)

BETWEEN:

G. THIPPERUDRAPPA,
S/O. THIPPESWAMY,
AGE: 70 YEARS, OCC: RETIRED DRIVER,
R/O: UJJENI ROAD,
NEAR GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL,
KANA HOSALLI, TQ: KUDLAGI,
DIST: VIJAYANGAR – 583 201.

... COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI. H. M. DHARIGOND, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SRI. SHAILESH KUMAR SINGH,
THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND
PANCHAYATHRAJ DEPARTMENT,
M.S. BUILDING, BANGALORE – 560 001.

2. SRI. RAHUL SHARANAPPA SANKANUR,
THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
ZILLA PANCHAYATH, BELLARY,
DIST: BELLARY – 583 101.

... ACCUSED

3. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA.

... PRO-FORMA RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. SHARAD V. MAGADUM, ADV. FOR A1;
SRI. V. SHIVARAJ HIREMATH, ADV. FOR A2;
SRI. SHARAD V. MAGADUM, AGA FOR PROFORMA R3)





THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTION 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, 1971, R/W. ARTICLE 215 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950, PRAYING TO, ALLOW THE CONTEMPT PETITION AND PUNISH THE ACCUSED IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW AND AS PER THE PROVISIONS OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT FOR HAVING DISOBEYED THE ORDER DATED 20-06-2023 PASSED IN W.P. NO. 86181/2013 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT VIDE ANNEXURE-D IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS CONTEMPT PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. MURALIDHARA PAI

ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN)

This contempt petition is filed alleging disobedience of the order dated 20.06.2023 passed in W.P. No.86181/2013.

2. It is seen that accused No.2 has issued an endorsement dated 16.10.2024 (Annexure-R3) to the complainant and has stated, at length, as to why his request cannot be granted.

3. This, in our opinion, amounts to compliance of the order dated 20.06.2023 passed in W.P. No.86181/2013.



If the complainant is not satisfied with the endorsement, he has the liberty to challenge the same in the manner known to law.

4. With the above observations, the contempt petition stands modified.

Pending interlocutory applications, if any, stand disposed of as not surviving for consideration.

Sd/-
(M.I.ARUN)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(B. MURALIDHARA PAI)
JUDGE

KMS / CT: ASC
List No.: 1 SI No.: 3