



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

WRIT PETITION NO.101401 OF 2021 (LB-UC)

BETWEEN:

RAVINDRA S/O RAVAPPA MALDINNI,
AGE. 55 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS,
R/O. CHAMUNDI COMPLEX,
NEAR APSARA KHOOT, GOKAK-591 307,
TQ. GOKAK, DIST. BELAGAVI.

... PETITIONER

(BY MS. SAMRUDDHI.G.PATIL, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. SHRIHARSH.A.NEELOPANT, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BELAGAVI DISTRICT,
BELAGAVI-590 001.
2. THE COMMISSIONER,
GOKAK CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
GOKAK-591 307, TQ. GOKAK,
DIST. BELAGAVI.
3. THE TOWN PLANNING OFFICER,
GOKAK-591 307, TQ. GOKAK,
DIST. BELAGAVI.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. P.N. HATTI, HCGP FOR R1;
SRI. RAJENDRA.R.PATIL, ADVOCATE FOR R2;
SRI. M.A. HULYAL, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND
227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN
RELIEFS.



THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Ms.Samruddhi G.Patil., counsel on behalf of Sri.Shriharsha A.Neelopant., for the petitioners, Sri.P.N.Hatti., HCGP for respondent No.1-State and Sri.Rajendra R.Patil., counsel for respondent No.2 have appeared in person.

2. The Writ petition is filed seeking the following reliefs:

"i) Issue a writ or order or direction in the nature of writ of mandamus directing the respondents to enter the name of the petitioner by considering the representations dated: 15.02.2021 submitted by the petitioner as per ANNEXURE-E, F and G respectively.

ii) Grant such other further reliefs as this Hon'ble court deems fit in the circumstances including costs in the interest of justice and equity."

3. Counsel for the respective parties presented their contentions.

4. Sri Rajendra R. Patil, counsel for Respondent No. 2, submits that although the petitioner has a grievance against Venkanna and Dyamanna, the petitioner has not impleaded them as parties to the proceedings. However, he further submits that



the petitioner's representations have been considered and necessary action has been taken pursuant thereto.

5. Heard the arguments and perused the records with care.

6. The Commissioner, CMC, has sworn to an affidavit stating that necessary action has been taken on the representations. In view of the same, the petitioner's grievance stands redressed. Hence, nothing survives for consideration as of today.

7. Accordingly, the petition is ***disposed of***.

Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE

AM/-
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 52