



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S. KINAGI

WRIT PETITION NO.104396 OF 2024 (GM-KEB)

BETWEEN:

M/S. KPR AGRO CHEM. LTD.,
REPRESENTED RAMAKRISHNA REDDY M.,
BY THE AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY
SY.NO.108, 109 AND 110
HALAVARTHI VILLAGE, KARNATAKA.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SANTOSH B.MALLIGAWAD, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
REPRESENTED BY PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, VIKASA SOUDHA,
DR. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI, BENGALURU-560001.
2. KARNATAKA POWER CORPORATION LIMITED,
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE,
AT SHAKTHI BHAVAN,
#82, RACE COURSE ROAD,
BANGALORE-560001, REPRESENTED BY
ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR.
3. THE CHIEF ENGINEER THERMAL DESIGN,
THE KARNATAKA POWER CORPORATION LTD.,
#3, 2ND FLOOR, GREEN BUILDING,
(DRUGS CONTROL DEPARTMENTAL PREMISES)
PALACE ROAD, BANGALORE-01.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. T. HANAMAREDDY, ADDL. GOVT. ADVOCATE FOR R1;
SRI. MRUTYUNJAYA S.HALLIKERI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 AND R3)

VIJAYALAKSHMI
M KANKUPPI



Digitally signed by
VIJAYALAKSHMI
M KANKUPPI

Date: 2026.04.09
17:12:55 +0530



THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE IT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING RESPONDENT NO.3 TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION OF THE PETITIONER FOR RELEASE OF SECURITY DEPOSIT VIDE ANNEXURE-G DATED 11-06-2021 AND ANNEXURE-H DATED 22-08-2022 AND ANNEXURE-K DATED 20-12-2023, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS WRIT PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S. KINAGI)

1. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking a writ of mandamus directing respondent No.3 to consider the representations of the petitioner for release of the security deposit, vide Annexure-G dated 11.06.2021, Annexure-H dated 22.08.2022, and Annexure-K dated 20.12.2023.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents have filed a memo along with documents, stating that the representations have already been considered and the decision has been communicated to the petitioner.



3. In view of the same, nothing survives for consideration in this writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

4. A liberty is reserved to the petitioner to challenge the endorsement, if so advised.

5. All contentions of the parties are kept open.

Sd/-
(ASHOK S. KINAGI)
JUDGE

AC
CT: UMD
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 55