



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

WRIT PETITION NO. 103518 OF 2025 (LB-RES)

BETWEEN:

NAGANGOUDA S/O. NINGANAGOUDA PATIL,
AGE. 81 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS,
R/O. MARIKAMBA PLOT, BOMMANAHALLI,
TQ. HANGAL, DIST. HAVERI-581 203.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. DINESH.M.KULKARNI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE ZILLA PANCHAYAT,
HAVERI DISTRICT, HAVERI-581 110,
REP. BY ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER.
2. THE TALUK PANCHAYAT,
HANGAL TALUK, HANGAL-581 104,
REP. BY ITS EXECUTIVE OFFICER.
3. THE GRAM PANCHAYAT,
BOMMANAHALLI-581 203,
TQ. HANGAL, DIST. HAVERI,
REP. BY ITS PDO.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. M.M. KHANNUR, ADVOCATE)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:



ORAL ORDER

Sri. Dinesh M.Kulkarni, counsel for the petitioner and Sri.M.M.Khannur, counsel for the respondents, have appeared in person.

2. The petition is filed seeking the following reliefs:

"a. Issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing Respondent No.2 to furnish the particulars in compliance with the letter ನಂ.ತಾ ಪಂ ಹಾ/ಗ್ರಾ ಪಂ ಬೊ/ರಲಜಾಬ ಕು/ವಹಿ-2025-26/110 ದಿನಾಂಕ 22-04-2025 issued by 2nd Respondent vide Annexure-R and further direct the Respondents 1 to 3 to complete the process of allotment of plot measuring 30X30 in its property bearing VPC No.512/1 under resolution No.5/1 dated 24/03/2015 as per Annexure-G.

b. Issue any other writ or direction as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the interest of justice and equity."

3. Counsel appearing for the respective parties advanced their arguments. The material on record has been perused with due care.

4. The petitioner, a senior citizen aged about 81 years, contends that his property was acquired for road widening in the



year 2012, for which no compensation has been paid. It is further submitted that despite his request for allotment of an alternative site, the same has not been finalized for nearly twelve years, compelling him to approach this Court.

5. It is not in dispute that Respondent No.3 – Grama Panchayat has resolved to allot a site measuring 30 x 30 in its property bearing VPC No.512/1 in favour of the petitioner under Resolution No.5/1 dated 24.03.2015. It is also evident that Respondents No.1 and 2 have not raised any objection to the said allotment. However, the process has not been completed on account of the non-furnishing of necessary particulars by the Panchayat Development Officer (PDO), i.e., Respondent No.3, as sought by Respondent No.2 vide Annexure-R.

6. In view of the above circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that appropriate directions are required to be issued to ensure expeditious compliance.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with the following directions:



- i. Respondent No.3 – PDO shall furnish all necessary particulars as requested by Respondent No.2 vide communication dated 22.04.2025 (Annexure-R), within a period of three (03) weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.
 - ii. Upon receipt of the said particulars, Respondents No.1 and 2 shall take appropriate steps in accordance with law to complete the process of allotment of the site measuring 30 x 30 in property bearing VPC No.512/1, as expeditiously as possible.
8. It is made clear that non-compliance with this order shall be viewed seriously.
9. Considering the advanced age of the petitioner, it is expected that the respondents shall act with promptitude and ensure that the petitioner is not compelled to approach this Court again.



**NC: 2026:KHC-D:4455
WP No. 103518 of 2025**

10. Ordered accordingly.

**Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE**

MRP
LIST NO.: 2 SL NO.: 12