



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, DHARWAD BENCH
DATED THIS THE 18TH DAY OF JUNE, 2025
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR
WRIT PETITION NO. 104110 OF 2024 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

MR. SHABBIR RASULSAB MUJAWAR,
SON OF RASULAB MUJAWAR,
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS, R/O. TELSANG,
ATHANI TALUK, BELAGAVI-591 265.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. A.S. ZUBAIR AHMED KHAN, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
VIKAS SOUDHA, BENGALURU-560001,
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BELAGAVI DISTRICT, BELAGAVI-590001.
3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
BELAGAVI DISTRICT, BELAGAVI-590001.
4. THE TAHSILDAR,
TELASANGA, ATHANI TALUK,
BELAGAVI DISTRICT-591304.
5. THE KARNATAKA STATE WAKF BOARD,
DARULAWKAF, NO.6, CUNNINGHAM ROAD,
BENGALURU-560052,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER.
6. PEER HAJI SAHEB DARGAH (SUNNI),
TELASANGA, ATHANI TALUK,
BELAGAVI DISTRICT -593104,
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDENT/SECRETARY.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. M.M. KHANNUR, AGA FOR R1-R4)

VIJAYALAKSHMI
M KANKUPPI





THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO

- a) ISSUE WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND QUASH THE IMPUGNED UPDATION OF REVENUE ENTRIES IN RESPECT OF LAND BEARING SY. NO. 850/1A, MEASURING 4 ACRES 3 GUNTAS, SITUATED TELASANG VILLAGE AND HOBLI, ATHANI TALUK, BELAGAVI DISTRICT BY THE RESPONDENT NO.4 IN FAVOUR OF THE KARNATAKA STATE WAKF BOARD, BENGALURU AND PEER HAJI SAHEB DARGAH (SUNNI), THE RESPONDENT NO.5 AND 6 HEREIN VIDE MR H205/2011-12 (RELEASE OF RIGHTS) DATED 03.02.2012 PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-A.
- b) ISSUE A WRIT OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT NO.4 TO RESTORE THE NAME OF THE PETITIONER'S FATHER IN RESPECT SCHEDULE PROPERTY BEARING SY. NO.850/1A, MEASURING 4 ACRES 34 GUNTAS, SITUATED AT TELASANG VILLAGE AND HOBLI, ATHANI TALUK, BELAGAVI DISTRICT BY DELETING THE NAME OF THE RESPONDENT NOS.5 AND 6.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR)

Learned AGA is directed to take notice for the respondents.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, when the matter is called out at 3.20 pm.

The matter has been adjourned by granting time to the petitioner to rectify the objections. On 04.04.2025, the



matter was adjourned granting time to the petitioner to comply, as a last chance. Again on 03.06.2025, the matter was adjourned granting two weeks' time, failing which, the matter was ordered to be listed for dismissal. Hence the matter is come up today. But again there is no representation.

Hence the petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-
(PRADEEP SINGH YERUR)
JUDGE

gab
CT-MCK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 41