



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD
DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M
WRIT PETITION NO. 147754 OF 2020 (LB-UC)

BETWEEN:

M/S MOULI AGRO DIARY FIRM
REPRESENTED BY ITS PARTNER,
SHRI.SANDEEP S/O BALASAHEB GATADE,
AGE: 40 YEARS,
OCC: PARTNER,
AT M/S. MOULI AGRO DAIRY FIRM,
R/O: R.S.NO.401/5,
TERDAL, DIST: BAGALKOTE,
PIN: 587315.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. JAGADISH PATIL, ADVOCATE [ABSENT])

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT
and PANCHAYAT RAJ,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BENGALURU-560001.
2. VILLAGE ACCOUNTANT
TERDAL GRAM PANCHAYAT,
TERDAL,TQ: JAMAKHANDI,
DIST: BAGALKOTE-587315.
3. TOWN MUNICIPAL CORPORATION TERDAL
BYPASS ROAD, TERDAL,
BY ITS CHIEF OFFICER
TQ: JAMAKHANDI,
DIST: BAGALKOTE-587315.
4. HUBLI ELECTRICITY SUPPLY COMPANY LTD.,
REPRESENTED BY ITS
MANAGING DIRECTOR,



NEAR GIRIRAJ ANNEXURE,
HUBBALLI-580001,
TQ: HUBBALLI,
DIST: DHARWAD.

5. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ELECTRICAL
O AND M SUB DIVISION,
HESCOM, RABAKAVI,
TQ: JAMAKHANDI,
DIST: BAGALKOTE-587314.
6. SECTON OFFICER
O AND M SECTION,
HESCOM, TERDAL,
TQ: JAMAKHANDI,
DIST: BAGALKOTE-587315.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.P.N.HATTI, HCGP FOR R1 AND R2;
SRI. MAHANTESH.R.PATIL, ADVOCATE FOR R3;
SRI. V.SHIVARAJ HIREMATH, ADVOCATE FOR R4 TO R6)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN
'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Though matter called twice, there is no representation on
behalf of the petitioner, either personally or through video
conferencing.

2. As could be seen from the daily order sheet, the
petition was listed on 18.03.2026, on that day, there was no
representation on behalf of the petitioner. Hence, for appearance



of counsel for the petitioner, it was ordered to be listed on 23.03.2026. The petition was listed on 23.03.2026 and on that day, at the request on behalf of counsel for the petitioner, the matter was adjourned to 25.03.2026.

3. The petition is listed today. As already noted above, though matter called twice, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, either personally or through video conferencing. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the petition. Hence, the Writ Petition is ***dismissed for non-prosecution***.

Because of dismissal of the petition, interim order granted if any stands discharged and pending interlocutory applications if any are disposed of.

Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE

RH
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 22