



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S. KINAGI

WRIT PETITION NO.102794 OF 2024 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

M/S. GANESH PETROLEUMS
A FIRM REGISTERED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF
PARTNERSHIP ACT, 1932 RUNNING A
RETAIL PETROL OUTLET AT MUNICIPAL
NO.T.S. NO.24/C 2A AND 24/C 2B(P),
BALLARY VILLAGE AND TALUK,
BALLARI DISTRICT-583101.
REPRESENTED BY ITS PARTNER,
G. RAVI KUMAR S/O. LATE G.BASANNA.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. BADRI VISHAL, ADVOCATE AND
SRI. PRASHANT MATHAPATI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. UNION OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY,
PETROLEUM AND EXPLOSIVES SAFETY
ORGANIZATION (PESO)
A AND D-WING, BLOCK 1-8,
IIND FLOOR, SHASTRI BHAVAN,
26, HADDOUS ROAD, NUNGAMBAKKAM,
CHENNAI-600006, REPRESENTED BY
THE JOINT CHIEF CONTROLLER OF EXPLOSIVES.
2. M/S. INDIAN OIL CORPORATION LIMITED,
A CORPORATION INCORPORATED
UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT,
1956 HAVING ITS OFFICE AT, BALLARI CITY HAVING ITS
DIVISION OFFICE AT DOOR NO.6, FIRST FLOOR,
INFANTRY ROAD, CANTONMENT, BALLARI,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF DIVISIONAL MANAGER.
(RETAIL SALES)

...RESPONDENTS

MOHANKUMAR
B SHELAR



Digitally signed by
MOHANKUMAR B
SHELAR

Date: 2026.03.27
15:51:46 +0530



(BY SRI. VENKATESH M.KHARVI, ADVOCATE FOR R1;
SRI. C.V. ANGADI, ADVOCATE FOR R2;
SRI. SHIVARAJ S.BALLOLI, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO A. ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER, OR DIRECTION AND QUASH THE LETTER DATED 27.03.2024 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.2 AT ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.,.

THIS WRIT PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S. KINAGI)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed a memo seeking leave of the Court to withdraw the petition on the ground that it has become infructuous.

2. The memo is taken on record.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, having become infructuous.

4. In view of the dismissal, pending applications, if any, do not survive for consideration.

Sd/-
(ASHOK S. KINAGI)
JUDGE