



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
AT DHARWAD**

DATED THIS THE 2ND DAY OF FEBRUARY 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE K.S.HEMALEKHA

WRIT PETITION NO.102183 OF 2018 (LB-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. NARAYAN CHANDRASHEKAR MALWAD
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: TALWAR ONI, SHIRATTI,
DIST: GADAG.
2. SRI. MEHABUBSAB FAKRUSAB HOSAMANI
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: TALWAR ONI, SHIRATTI,
DIST: GADAG.
3. SMT. SRIDEVI RAMACHANDR CHAVAN
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: NEAR RAGAVENDRA SWAMY MATH,
SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
4. SMT. RENUKA FAKIRAPPA ROTIGOUDAR
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: KOTI ONI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
5. SRI. MOULALI HANIFSAB SHIGALI
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: KALIGAR ONI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
6. SMT. DEVAKKAK SANAHANUMANTHAPPA ITAYKAR
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: TALAWAR ONI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
7. SMT. LAXMI DEVAPPA KOLGERI
AGED ABOUT 25 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: KURUBAR ONI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.





8. SMT. HONAVVA GULAPPA KARIGAR
AGED ABOUT 28 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: KURUBAR ONI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
9. SMT. REKHA DATTARAYA MUDLOKAR
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: VENKATESHWAR GUDI,
SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
10. MIRUNBI ABDULSAB MULGUND
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: SHANBAG ONI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
11. SRI. ISMAIL ABDULSAB MULGUND
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: MAHISHI ONI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
12. MABUBI MABUSAB ANNIGERI
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: VIJAY NAGAR, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
13. MALANBI HUSAYNSAB ONTI
AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: HARIPUR, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
14. SMT. SHYKELA NAZERAHMAD
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: VIJAY NAGAR, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
15. SMT. LAXMAVVA JAGADISH PALLED
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: KACHYRI ROAD, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
16. SRI.PRAKASH CHANAPPA SAJJANAR
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS, OCC: UNEMPLOYED,
R/O: HALE PETH ONI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
17. SRI.MOUNESH VASAPPA NARAHARI
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: VENKATESHWAR ROAD,
SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
18. SRI.SHANKARAPPA FAKIRAPPA TALWAR
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: TALAWAR ONI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.



19. SMT.AKKAMA BASAVANTHAPPA GOULI
AGED ABOUT 25 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEWIFE,
R/O: NEAR CANARA BANK,
SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
20. SRI.MANJUNATH RAMESH KAPATHNAVAR
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS, OCC: DRIVER,
R/O: NEAR GOVERNMENT WELL,
SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
21. JULEKA BABUSAB NAGARI
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: HALEPETH ONI, SHIRATTI,
DIST: GADAG.
22. SMT. SAIRABANU NAZERSAB KARNI
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEWIFE,
R/O: MAKAN GALLI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
23. SMT. SRIDEVI PRAKASH BEDAVE
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: MARATH GALLI, SHIRATTI,
DIST: GADAG.
24. SMT.KALAVATHI DHARMAN AMBANAVAR
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEWIFE,
R/O: GOUDAR ONI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
25. SMT. AKAWA SURESH CHAVAN
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: GOUDAR ONI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
26. SMT. SHYLA MARUTHI SARCHPUR
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: MARKET ROAD, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
27. SMT. GEETHA SANJEV CHAVAN
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEWIFE,
R/O: MARATH GALLI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
28. SMT. NIRMALA IRANNA PATENSHETTY
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEWIFE,
R/O: NEAR CHOUDI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.



29. SMT. JAYSHREE SURESH KADAM
AGED ABOUT 28 YEARS, OCC: COOLIE,
R/O: MARATHGALLI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
30. SMT. SAROJA NARAYN AMBANAVAR
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEWIFE,
R/O: GOUDAR ONI, SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SUNIL S.DESAI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS UNDER SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING, VIKAS SOUDHA,
M.S. BUILDING, BENGALURU.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
GADAG, DIST: GADAG.
3. THE PRESIDENT
THE ASHRAYA SAMITHI,
SHIRAHATTI, DIST: GADAG.
4. THE TASHILDAR
SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.
5. THE CHIEF OFFICER
PATTAN PANCHYATH,
SHIRATTI, DIST: GADAG.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PRAVEEN K.UPPAR, AGA FOR R1 TO R4;
NOTICE TO R5 IS SERVED)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE LIST OF BENEFICIARIES ISSUED BY 3RD RESPONDENT DATED 07.03.2018 AS PER ANNEXURE-F, AS ILLEGAL AND ERRONEOUS AND CONSEQUENTLY ISSUE DIRECTION TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT TO GIVE EFFECT TO THE LIST PREPARED BY 5TH RESPONDENT AS PER ANNEXURE-D AND ETC.



THIS WRIT PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING
IN 'B' GROUP THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE K.S.HEMALEKHA

ORAL ORDER

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking for
the following prayers.

"1. Issue a writ in the nature of Certiorari or any other appropriate writ, direction or order quashing the list of beneficiaries issued by 3rd respondent dated 7-3-2018 as per ANNEXURE- F, as illegal and erroneous and consequently issue direction to the 3rd respondent to give effect to the list prepared by 5th respondent as per ANNEXURE-D, in the interest of justice and equity.

2. Issue such other direction or order that this Hon'ble Court may deem just and expedient in the circumstance of the case."

2. Learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 4, submits that during pendency of the present writ petition, a fresh list of 117 beneficiaries has been prepared and the Government has accorded permission for sanction. In support of the said submission, a memo is filed along with the government communication dated 30.11.2022.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per the fresh list produced by the State some of the petitioners had been included as beneficiaries, while others have not. It is further submitted that inasmuch as fresh list has now been issued, the petitioners may be granted liberty to challenge the same in accordance with law.

4. Having regard to the submission made by the learned counsel on both sides, and in view of the fact that fresh list of beneficiaries has been issued, during the pendency of the writ petition, the relief sought herein does not survive for consideration and thus become infructuous. Accordingly, the writ petition stands ***disposed of*** as having become infructuous, reserving liberty to the petitioners to challenge the fresh list of beneficiary in accordance with law, if so advised.

Sd/-
JUSTICE K.S.HEMALEKHA