



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, DHARWAD BENCH

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2021

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI MULIMANI

REGULAR FIRST APPEAL NO.100097 OF 2018 (MON)

BETWEEN

UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES
REP BY ITS REGISTRAR
KRISHINAGAR
DHARWAD – 580 005.

...APPELLANT

(BY SMT.PADMAJA TADAPATHI – ADVOCATE FOR
SRI.K.L.PATIL ADVOCATE)

AND

1. M/S.SHANKAR CONSTRUCTION CO.
BY PARTNER SRI SUNIL M.SHETTY
AGE: MAJOR (AGE NOT KNOWN)
OCC: CONTRACTOR
R/O. SHREE BUILDING
POLICE HEAD CROSS QUARTERS ROAD
DHARWAD 580 008.
2. SHREE KEMPAYYA
AGE: MAJOR (AGE NOT KNOWN)
OCC: ESTATE OFFICER
NOW WORKING AS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
ESTATE BRANCH CVKV HEBBAL
BENGALURU 560 024.

...RESPONDENT



THIS RFA IS FILED UNDER SECTION 96 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 1908.

THIS RFA COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

Smt.Padmaja Tadapathi learned counsel on behalf of Sri.K.L.Patil for appellant has appeared in person.

Counsel submits that short accommodation may be granted to comply office objections.

Counsel further submits that the office objections will be complied during the course of the day.

Submission is noted.

As could be seen from the order sheet, this Court vide order dated:13.12.2019 had granted a week's time to comply office objections, failing which the appeal would be listed for dismissal.



The appeal is listed today for orders for dismissal of appeal for non-compliance of office objection.

Even today, office objections have not been complied with.

Though the learned counsel for appellant prays for further time, this Court finds no justifiable grounds to grant further time.

Accordingly, the appeal is ***dismissed*** for non-compliance of office objections.

**Sd/-
JUDGE**

TKN