



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD**  
**DATED THIS THE 9<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF APRIL, 2026**  
**BEFORE**  
**THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 102164 OF 2025 (S-RES)**

**BETWEEN:**

SMT. L. SHASHIREKHA W/O L. PRAKASH,  
AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS,  
WORKING AS ASST. ENGINEER,  
O/O. ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
SINGATALUR LIFT IRRIGATION SCHEME,  
DIV. OFFICE NO.2, HUVIN HADAGALI,  
DIST. VIJAYANAGAR-583 219.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. TANAY BORKAR, ADVOCATE FOR  
SRI. PRASHANT MATHAPATI, ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. KARNATAKA NEERAVARI NIGAM LTD.,  
GOVT. OF KARNATAKA ENTERPRISE,  
VIJAYA COMPLEX, SRI NAGAR CROSS,  
HALIYAL ROAD, DHARWAD-03,  
REP. BY ITS CHIEF ADM. OFFICER,
2. THE CHIEF ENGINEER,  
KARNATAKA NEERAVARI NIGAM LTD.,  
NEERAVARI CENTRE, MUNIRABAD RANGE,  
MUNIRABAD, DIST. KOPPAL-583 231.
3. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
KARNATAKA NEERAVARI NIGAM LTD.,  
NO.4, CANAL DIVISION,  
SIRAWAR, DIST. RAICHUR-584 101.
4. THE ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
KARNATAKA NEERAVARI NIGAM LTD.,  
SINGALATALUR LIFT IRRIGATION SCHEME,  
DIVISION NO.2, HUVINA HADAGALI,  
DIST. VIJAYANAGARA-583 219.





5. THE ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
KARNATAKA NEERAVARI NIGAM LTD.,  
NO.4, CANAL SUB-DIVISION,  
HIREKOTNEKAL, TQ. MANVI,  
DIST. KOPPAL-583 231.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. S.M. TONNE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 TO R5)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227  
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN  
'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

**ORAL ORDER**

Counsel Sri.Tanay Borkar., on behalf of Sri.Prashan  
Mathapati., for the petitioner and counsel Sri.S.M.Tonne., for  
respondents 1 to 5 have appeared in person.

2. The counsel for the petitioner contends that  
petitioner has give a representation on 08.01.2025. However,  
the same has not been considered as of today.

3. Sri.S.M.Tonne., counsel for respondents 1 to 5, on  
instructions, submits that if a direction is issued, respondent  
No.3 will consider the representation in accordance with law.

4. Taking note of the said submission, mandamus is  
ordered directing respondent No.3 to consider the representation



submitted by the petitioner on 08.01.2025, in accordance with the law within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. With above observations, the writ petition is ***disposed of***. This Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

**Sd/-  
(JYOTI M)  
JUDGE**

AM  
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 99