



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI V.HOSMANI

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO.100037 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

G. RAGHAVENDRA SETTY
S/O VENKANNA SETTY,
AGE. 55 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST,
R/O THAMBRAHALLI VILLAGE,
TQ. HB HALLI, DIST. BALLARI.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI SANTOSH B. MALLIGAWAD, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. D. ROJA
D/O SHEKHARAPPA,
AGE. 26 YEARS, OCC. STUDENT,
R/O NO.2, SEEGANAHALLI VILLAGE,
TQ. HAGARIBOMMANAHALLI, DIST. BALLARI.
2. D. RAJESH
S/O SHEKHARAPPA,
AGE. 24 YEARS, OCC. STUDENT,
R/O NO.2, SEEGANAHALLI VILLAGE,
TQ. HAGARIBOMMANAHALLI, DIST. BALLARI.
3. D. AISHWARYA
D/O SHEKHARAPPA,
AGE. 21 YEARS, OCC. STUDENT,
R/O NO.2, SEEGANAHALLI VILLAGE,
TQ. HAGARIBOMMANAHALLI, DIST. BALLARI.



4. D. AKASH
D/O SHEKHARAPPA,
AGE. 19 YEARS, OCC. STUDENT,
R/O NO.2, SEEGANAHALLI VILLAGE,
TQ. HAGARIGOMMANAHALLI, DIST. BALLARI.
5. PARVATHI
W/O SHEKHARAPPA,
AGE. 40 YEARS, OCC. HOUSEHOLD,
R/O NO.2, SEEGANAHALLI VILLAGE,
TQ. HAGARIBOMMANAHALLI, DIST. BALLARI.
6. D. SHEKHARAPPA
S/O LATE RANGAPPA,
AGE. 45 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O NO.2, SHEEGENAHALLI VILLAGE,
TQ. HAGARIBOMMANAHALLI, DIST. BALLARI.
7. BALASUBRAMANYA
S/O PAMPANNA BANADA,
AGE. 43 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O SHEEGANAHALLI VILLAGE,
TQ. HAGARIBOMMANAHALLI, DIST. BALLARI.

...RESPONDENTS

(NOTICE TO R1 TO R7-SERVED)

THIS CRP IS FILED UNDER SEC.115 OF CPC, PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 12.12.2024 PASSED ON IA NO.6 VIDE ANNEXURE-E, PASSED BY LEARNED CIVIL JUDGE AND JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE FIRST CLASS, HAGARIBOMMNAHALLI IN OS NO.105/2019 IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS CRP COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI V.HOSMANI



ORAL ORDER

Matter was passed over and called again. There is no representation.

2. It is seen that on 06.06.2025, matter was adjourned at request for compliance. Thereafter, adjourned once again for payment of Court fee on 13.06.2025. On 20.06.2025, notice was ordered in absentia. On 18.07.2025, it was adjourned for payment of cost. Thereafter on 28.01.2026, delay of 6 days in filing revision petition was condoned. Subsequently on previous day, at request of petitioner, it was adjourned.

3. This would indicate that petitioner has not been pursuing above revision petition diligently. Hence, revision petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-
(RAVI V.HOSMANI)
JUDGE

CLK
CT:VP
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 52