



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

WRIT PETITION NO. 102602 OF 2023 (LB-RES)

BETWEEN:

SIDDALINGESHWAR
S/O. ISHWARAPPA NEELI,
AGE: 53 YEARS,
OCC: MEDICAL PRACTITIONER,
R/O. PLOT NO. 2086,
SECTOR NO. 9,
ANJANEYANAGAR,
BELAGAVI-590001.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. KIRANKUMAR CHATTIMATH, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE BELAGAVI CITY COPRORATION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS COMMISSIONER,
BELAGAVI-590001.
2. SMT. SHOBHA
W/O. BALACHANDRA TUBACHI,
AGE: 63 YEARS,
OCC: HOUSEHOLD,
R/O. PLOT NO. 2085,
SECTOR NO. 9,
M.M. EXTENSION,
BELAGAVI-590001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.CHETAN MUNNOLI, ADVOCATE FOR R1;
SRI. VITTHAL.S.TELI, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN
'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:



ORAL ORDER

Sri.Kirankumar Chattimath., counsel for the petitioner,
Sri.Chetan Munnoli., counsel for respondent No.1 and Sri.Vitthal
S.Teli., counsel for respondent No.2 have appeared in person.

2. The petition is filed seeking following reliefs:

- a) To issue a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the entire proceedings pending before Respondent No.1 bearing No.MANABE / NAYOSHA / KAPASHA / AKTE / VIVA / 2018-19 vide Annexure-L as null and void.
- b) Issue a writ of Mandamus directing the Respondent No.1 to consider the Rejoinder application dated 01.08.2022, vide Annexure-J and to pass order on rejoinder application of the Petitioner.
- c) To issue any other writ or direction as this Court may deem fit in the interest of justice and equity.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not pressing prayer No.(a) at this juncture. When queried he submits that a conscious decision is taken about non pressing of prayer No.(a).

The oral submission is placed on record.

4. As far as prayer No.(b) is concerned, the petitioner contends that he has submitted application dated 01.08.2022. His grievance is that the same has not been considered as of today.



5. Taking note of the grievance of the petitioner, mandamus is ordered directing respondent No.1 to consider the application submitted by the petitioner on 01.08.2022 in accordance with the law, if not considered as of today.

6. With the above observation, writ petition is ***disposed of***. Needless to observe that, this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

**Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE**

MRP
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 58