



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S. KINAGI

WRIT PETITION NO.102593 OF 2026 (GM-CC)

BETWEEN:

SRI. THIRUMALA G. S/O. JANARDHANA G.,
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS,
R/AT. GUDUDURU VILLAGE,
BALLARI, TQ. BALLARY DIST.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. VIJAY KUMAR, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE DIRECTOR FOR SCHEDULED CASTE
/SCHEDULED TRIBE (TRIBAL WELFARE),
NTRUPATHUNG ROAD, BENGALURU-560001.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER AND CHAIRMAN,
DISTRICT CASTE VERIFICATION COMMITTEE,
BALLARI DISTRICT, BALLARI.
3. THE TAHSILDAR,
BALLARI TALUK, BALLARI.
4. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
DIRECTORATE OF CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT,
OPP. TO NEW DC OFFICE,
ANANTHAPURA ROAD, BALLARI.



5. DEPUTY SECRETARY,
ZILLA PANCHAYATH AND MEMBER,
DISTRICT CASTE VERIFICATION
COMMITTEE, BALLARI.
6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR,
SOCIAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT
AND MEMBER SECRETARY,
DISTRICT CASTE VERIFICATION
COMMITTEE, BALLARI.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. T. HANAMAREDDY, ADDL. GOVT. ADVOCATE)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO I) CALL FOR THE RELEVANT RECORDS RELATING TO ISSUE OF IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 09.03.2026, PASSED IN APPEAL NO.PA.VA.KA.E/ APPEAL/CR-21/2025-26 BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT (VIDE ANNEXURE-A). II) ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI QUASHING THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 09.03.2026, PASSED IN APPEAL NO.PA.VA.KA.E/ APPEAL/CR-21/2025-26 BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT IN SO FAR AS IT RELATES TO NOT PASSING ANY INTERIM ORDER SOUGHT IN IA NO.1/2026 (VIDE ANNEXURE-A) AND ETC.,.



THIS WRIT PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S. KINAGI)

1. The petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 09.03.2026, wherein respondent No. 1 has issued a notice, and declined to grant an ad interim order.
2. Respondent No. 1 has issued notice and is of the opinion that the petitioner is not entitled to an interim order at this stage. Hence, no adverse order has been passed by respondent No. 1.
3. The application in I.A. No. 1 filed by the petitioner is pending for consideration. Hence, I do not find any error in the impugned order at Annexure- A.
4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.
5. A Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to pursue the matter before respondent No. 1 for consideration on I.A. No. 1.



**NC: 2026:KHC-D:4680
WP No. 102593 of 2026**

6. In view of the dismissal of the writ petition, I.A. Nos. 1 and 2 of 2026 do not survive for consideration, and shall stand disposed of.

**Sd/-
(ASHOK S. KINAGI)
JUDGE**

VB
CT: UMD
List No.: 2 SI No.: 16