



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD
DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. MURALIDHARA PAI
WRIT APPEAL NO. 100199 OF 2023 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

VEERAPPA S/O. CHANAPPA KALALKONDA,
AGED ABOUT 86 YEARS,
OCC. RETIRED SUPERINTENDENT
KIMS, HUBBALLI,
RESIDING AT: C/O. LALITA KALAKONDA,
SRI SIDDESHWARA NILAYA, PRAGATI COLONY,
HOSUR, UNKAL ROAD, VIDYANAGAR, HUBBALLI,
DHARWAD DISTRICT, PRESENTLY RESIDING
AT: H.NO.3/A, GURUDEV NAGAR, HUBBALLI.

... APPELLANT

(BY SRI. MALLIKARJUNSWAMY B. HIEMATH, ADVOCATE FOR
SMT. KAVERI M. HIEMATH,
SRI UMESH P. HAKKARKI, ADVOCATES)

AND:

1. CHANDRASHEKHAR
S/O. VEERAPPA KALALKONDA,
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
OCC. PRIVATE SERVICE,
RESIDING AT: HOUSE NO.55/A,
RENUKA NILAYA,C/O. RENUKA SANGLI,
ULAVI CHANNABSAVESHWAR
NAGAR, GOPANAKOPPA, HUBBALLI,
DHARWAD DISTRICT.





2. SMT. MANJULA R. PATIL,
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS, OCC. HOUSE WIFE,
R/O. ODDAR ONI, KUSAGAL, HUBBALLI,
DHARWAD DISTRICT.
3. SMT. LALITA D/O. VEERAPPA KALAKONDA,
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, OCC. TEACHER,
RESIDING AT: SRI SIDDESHWARA NILAYA,
PRAGATI COLONY, HOSUR, UNKAL ROAD,
VIDYANAGAR, HUBBALLI, DHARWAD DISTRICT,
PRESENTLY RESIDING AT: H.NO.3/A,
GURUDEV NAGAR, HUBBALLI.
4. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
GADAG-582 101.
5. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
GADAG, GADAG DISTRICT-580 004.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. RANJITA G. ALAGAWADI, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI B.V. SOMAPUR, ADVOCATE FOR C/R1;
SRI SHARAD V. MAGADUM, AGA FOR R4 AND R5;
NOTICE TO R2 AND R3 IS DISPENSED WITH)

THIS WRIT APPEAL IS FILED UNDER SECTION 4 OF
KARNATAKA HIGH COURT ACT, 1961, PRAYING TO SET-ASIDE
THE ORDER DATED 09/02/2023 PASSED BY LEARNED SINGLE
JUDGE IN W.P. NO.101526/2022 (GM-RES), IN THE INTEREST
OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS APPEAL IS COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. MURALIDHARA PAI

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN)

1. This writ appeal arises out of proceedings initiated under the provisions of the *Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007*. The appellant is the father, respondent No.1 is the son and respondent Nos.2 and 3 are the daughters.

2. During the pendency of the appeal, the parties have agreed to resolve the dispute through mediation. In the mediation, compromise was arrived at and a Memorandum of Agreement dated 25.02.2026 has been entered into between the parties. The said Memorandum of Agreement is placed on record.

3. The parties are present before the Court and are duly identified by their respective learned advocates. They jointly pray that the writ appeal be disposed of in terms of the Memorandum of Agreement entered into between them.



4. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, the writ appeal stands disposed of in terms of the Memorandum of Agreement dated 25.02.2026 entered into between the parties in the mediation.

5. The parties further submit that they shall amicably settle the disputes in respect of other properties and take necessary steps to withdraw all pending proceedings, if any, before the appropriate forums.

6. The parties have also agreed to withdraw the criminal cases, if any, initiated against each other in connection with the subject matter of the dispute, insofar as it is permissible in law.

**Sd/-
(M.I.ARUN)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(B. MURALIDHARA PAI)
JUDGE**