



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

WRIT PETITION NO.105331 OF 2018 (LB-RES)

BETWEEN:

MANJUNATH S/O RAMAKRISHNA NAIK,
AGE: 24 YEARS, OCC: NIL,
R/O: MUKARGUNDI VILLAGE OF
BALKUR HOBALI-581 423,
TQ: HONAVAR, DIST: UTTARA KANNADA.

... PETITIONER

(BY SMT. SHAILA BELLIKATTI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
ZILLA PANCHAYAT,
HONAVAR-581 334,
TQ: AND DIST: UTTARA KANNADA.
2. EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
TALUKA PANCHAYAT,
HONAVAR-581 334,
TQ: AND DIST: UTTARA KANNADA.
3. PANCHAYAT DEVELOPMENT OFFICER,
GRAM PANCHAYAT,
BALKUR VILLAGE-581 423,
HONAVAR TALUK,
DIST: UTTARA KANNADA.
4. THE PRESIDENT,
GRAM PANCHAYAT,
BALKUR VILLAGE-581 423,
HONAVAR TALUK,
DIST: UTTARA KANNADA.



5. SECRETARY,
GRAM PANCHAYAT,
BALKUR VILLAGE-581 423,
HONAVAR TALUK,
DIST: UTTARA KANNADA.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. S.V. YAJI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 TO R4;
NOTICE TO R1, R5 IS SERVED AND UNREPRESENTED)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND
227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN
RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Smt. Shaila Bellikatti., counsel for the petitioner and
Sri.S.V.Yaji., counsel for respondents 2 to 4 have appeared in
person.

2. The Writ petition is filed seeking the following reliefs:

"a) Issue a writ certiorari or any other Writ by quashing the Annexure-A the notification bearing Reference No.Sam/Gra.PamBa/Sibhandi Nemaka/2017-18 dated: 03.03.2018 published in "Karavali Mujavu" local daily Kannada News paper dated: 05.03.2018 issued by Respondent No.4 and issue directions to respondent No.3 to 5 for fresh notification for appointment of the staff by following proper procedure of law, in the interest of justice and equity.

b) Grant any other relief to which the petitioner is entitled under the circumstances of the case."



3. Sri.S.V. Yaji, learned counsel appearing for Respondent Nos. 2 to 4, on instructions, submits that pursuant to the impugned notification, appointments to the posts of Waterman and Bill Collector were made in April 2018. It is further submitted that the petitioner approached this Court only in the month of August, 2018. Learned counsel contends that as on the date of filing of the writ petition, the notification had already been implemented and the appointments had been completed. He therefore prays that an appropriate order be passed.

4. The submission is placed on record.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record.

6. It is not in dispute that the appointments in question were made in April, 2018. The petitioner has approached this Court belatedly in August, 2018. By the time the writ petition was filed, the impugned notification had already been given effect to, and the selection process had been concluded.



In view of the aforesaid facts, no cause survives for consideration in the present writ petition. The relief sought by the petitioner cannot be granted at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition stands ***dismissed***.
8. No order as to costs.

**Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE**

AM/-
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 15