



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
DHARWAD BENCH
DATED THIS THE 22ND DAY OF AUGUST, 2024
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI MULIMANI
WRIT PETITION NO. 106511 OF 2023 (LR)**

BETWEEN:

SRI. PEERSAB S/O PEERSAB MALAGI,
SINCE DECEASED BY LR'S

SMT. HUSENBI W/O PEERSAB MALAGI,
SINCE DECEASED BY LRS.,

SRI. PEERSAB S/O PEERSAB MALAGI,
SINCE DECEASED BY LRS.,

1. SMT. MEHABUBI W/O PEERSAB MALAGI,
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
OCC: HOUSEHOLD WORK,
2. DADAPEER S/O PEERSAB MALAGI,
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,
OCC: AGRICULTURE,
3. ALLHABAKSH S/O PEERSAB MALAGI,
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,
4. MUKTUMHUSEN S/O PEERSAB MALAGI,
AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS,
OCC: AGRICULTURE,
5. AKBARASAB S/O PEERSAB MALAGI,
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS,
OCC: AGRICULTURE,
6. SADDAMHUSEN S/O PEERSAB MALAGI,
AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS,
OCC: AGRICULTURE,





7. HASANSAB S/O PEERSAB MALAGI,
AGE: 76 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,
8. SRI. MOULASAB S/O PEERSAB MALAGI,
AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS,
OCC: AGRICULTURE,
9. IMAMSAB S/O PEERSAB MALAGI,
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS,
OCC: AGRICULTURE,
ALL ARE RESIDENT OF SHINGANAHALLI,
TQ: AND DIST: DHARWAD.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. S.R.HEGDE., ADVOCATE [ABSENT])

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
R/BY ITS SECRETARY,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
DR. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU-01.
2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
AND THE CHAIRMAN LAND TRIBUNAL,
DHARWAD-01, TQ: AND DIST: DHARWAD.

SMT. MEHABUBI W/O SANHASANSAB MALAGI,
SANHASANSAB S/O PEERSAB MALAGI,
BOTH DEAD BY LRS.,
3. SMT. FATHIMA W/O HASANSAB CHOPDAR,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
OCC: HOUSEHOLD WORK,
R/O: SHINGANHALLI,
TQ: AND DIST: DHARWAD.
4. SMT. MUKTUMBI W/O MABUBSAB PATIL,
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS,
OCC: HOUSEHOLD WORK,
R/O: JANATA PLOT ONI,



YADWAD, TQ: AND DIST: DHARWAD.
FAKKIRSAB S/O PEERSAB MALAGI (DEAD),
SINCE DEAD BY LRS.,

5. SMT. DADIBI W/O MAHABO OBSAB KAMBARGANVI,
AGE: 49 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD,
R/O: SINGANAHALLI, TQ: AND DIST: DHARWAD.
6. VIJAYKUMAR S/O GOVIND AGALAGATTI,
AGE: MAJOR, OCC: PVT. SERVICE,
7. UDAYKUMAR S/O GOVIND AGALAGATTI,
AGE: MAJOR, OCC: PVT. SERVICE,
RESPONDENT 4 AND 5 ARE R/O: NEAR RYAMPYAD,
ROOM NO.55, DIST: PUNE, MAHARASHTRA.
8. LINGAVVA W/O BASAVARAJ DESSAI,
AGE: 90 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD,
R/O: HANGARAKI, TQ: AND DIST: DHARWAD.

...RESPONDENTS

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226
AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN
RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

When the matter is called, there is no representation on
behalf of the petitioners, either personally or through video
conferencing. When the matter is listed before the Court, and is
called out, the advocate must be present in the Court. His or
her absence may effect the case.



As could be seen from the daily order sheet, the petition was listed before the Addl. Registrar (Judicial) on 04.12.2023, on that day, three weeks time was granted to comply office objections. Despite granting time, office objections were not complied with.

The petition is listed today for orders regarding non-compliance of office objections. A perusal of the entire order sheet reveals that despite giving sufficient opportunity, the office objections are not complied with. Furthermore, the petition is filed on 15.02.2023. Now we are in the month of August 2024. The petition is pending for almost one year six months. As already noted above, when the matter is called, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners, either personally or through video conferencing. It appears that the petitioners are not diligent in prosecuting the petition. Hence, the Writ Petition is ***dismissed*** for non-prosecution and also for non-compliance of office objections.

**Sd/-
(JYOTI MULIMANI)
JUDGE**

MRP
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 1