



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

**BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE K.S.HEMALEKHA**

WRIT PETITION NO.101070 OF 2026 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

SRI. VINOD S/O. NARAYAN GOUDA
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
RESIDING AT KOLKI,
GUNAVNTE VILLAGE,
HONAVAR TALUK-581348.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. CHANDRASHEKHAR GOUDA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA, BANGALORE-560001.

2. THE TAHSILDAR
OFFICE OF THE TAHSILDAR HONAVAR,
TALUK HONAVAR-581334,
UTTARA KANNADA-DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. NANDINI B.SOMAPUR, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE ENDORSEMENT DATED 18/01/2026 PASSED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT-THE TAHSILDAR HONAVAR IN NO.R.T.S./VI./NIDHI/VIVA-374/2025-26 VIDE ANNEXURE-A; ISSUE A WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR SUCH OTHER WRIT DIRECTING THE 2ND RESPONDENT-THE TAHSILDAR HONAVAR TO INCORPORATE THE INJUNCTION ORDER GRANTED BY THE CIVIL COURT IN O.S. NO. 6/2024 IN THE OTHER RIGHTS COLUMN OF THE REVENUE RECORDS; AND ETC.





THIS WRIT PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING
IN 'B' GROUP THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE K.S.HEMALEKHA

ORAL ORDER

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed a memo stating that the writ petition has become infructuous in light of the representation being considered by respondent No.2.
2. The memo reads thus:

"The petitioner submits as follows:

In the above matter, the 2nd Respondent has considered the representation filed by the petitioner. Hence the present petition does not survive. Therefore the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to dispose the matter as not pressed. Hence, this memo."

3. In view of the memo filed, the writ petition is ***dismissed*** as having become infructuous.

**Sd/-
JUSTICE K.S.HEMALEKHA**

AM/-
Ct:VH
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 98