



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD
DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HANCHATE SANJEEVKUMAR
REVIEW PETITION NO.100015 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

SRI VIVEK SHIVAPUTRAGOUDA SHIVAPPAGAUDAR
W/O. SRI SHIVAPUTRAGOUDA SHIVAPPAGAUDAR,
AGE: 39 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,
R/O. NEAR K. H. PATIL STADIUM, V.D.S.T.C
GROUND MASARI, GADAG, KARNATAKA-582101.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI S. M. KALWAD, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SMT. PREETI VIVEK SHIVAPPAGAUDAR,
SRI VIVEK S. SHIVAPPAGAUDAR,
AGE: 34 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD WORK,
R/O. NO.G, 2 GROUND FLOOR, KALBURGI
SEASONS APARTMENT, VIDYANAGAR, HUBBALLI,
DIST. DHARWAD-580021.
2. KUMAR MANVIT SHIVAPPAGAUDAR,
SRI VIVEK S. SHIVAPPAGAUDAR,
AGE: 7 YEARS, R/O. NO.G, 2 GROUND FLOOR,
KALBURGI SEASONS APARTMENT, VIDYANAGAR,
HUBBALLI, DIST. DHARWAD-580021.
REPRESENTED BY NATURAL GUARDIAN I.E
MOTHER SMT. PREETI VIVEK SHIVAPPAGAUDAR.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI SANTOSH B. MALLIGAWAD, ADVOCATE)

THIS REVIEW PETITION IS FILED UNDER ORDER 47 RULE
1 R/W. 114 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, PRAYING TO
REVIEW THE JUDGMENT DATED 08.01.2026 PASSED BY THIS





HON'BLE COURT IN RPFC NO.100176/2024, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HANCHATE SANJEEVKUMAR)

This review petition is filed by the review petitioner/husband under Order XLVII Rule 1 read with Section 114 of the Code of Civil Procedure, seeking review of the order passed by this Court in RPFC No.100176/2024 dated 08.01.2026, praying for review of the grant of maintenance to the wife.

2. This Court, in the above said RPFC No.100176/2024, by its order dated 08.01.2026, granted maintenance of Rs.15,000/- per month to the wife, in addition to Rs.12,000/- per month already awarded to the child.

3. The ground urged for seeking review is that the wife is earning Rs.45,000/- per month as a nutritionist, and



the petitioner places reliance on the admission made by PW-1/wife in paragraph No.3 of her cross-examination.

4. PW-1, in paragraph No.3 of her cross-examination, has stated that she is receiving an income of Rs.45,000/- per month by working as a nutritionist, including incentives and emoluments. The review petitioner/husband is a Bachelor of Engineering graduate and is working as a freelancer in the software field at Bangalore. It is therefore submitted that he is not a regular employee in any company and hence prays for modification of the grant of maintenance.

5. When the husband is working as a freelancer in the engineering field, it indicates that he has the background of a sound financial status. Working as a freelancer does not necessarily mean working merely for livelihood but may also indicate that he has sufficient professional opportunities. Therefore, it can be inferred that the husband is having a lucrative income from his experience in the engineering field.

6. Further, the husband, who was examined as RW-1 before the Family Court, has admitted that his father is the



owner of 40 acres of land in Gadag. The husband has also admitted that his family members, namely his parents and himself, are owners of two plots at Devanahalli, Bangalore.

7. Therefore, considering the economic condition of the husband, who possesses valuable immovable properties and is also an engineering graduate with experience in the engineering field, it is evident that the husband is earning sufficient income.

8. The monthly maintenance granted to the wife is Rs.15,000/- per month. Hence, there are no valid grounds made out to review the order, and there is no error apparent on the face of the record to review the quantum of maintenance granted to the wife.

9. Therefore, the review petition is liable to be dismissed. Accordingly, it is ***dismissed***.

Sd/-
(HANCHATE SANJEEVKUMAR)
JUDGE