



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT DHARWAD**

**DATED THIS THE 8<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF JULY, 2026**

**PRESENT**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.SUNIL DUTT YADAV**

**AND**

**THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE K.G.SHANTHI**

WRIT APPEAL NO. 100022 OF 2024 (GM-TEN)

**BETWEEN:**

M/S GIRIJARAMANA IMPEX PRIVATE LTD.,  
R/BY K R BALASUBRAMANYAN,  
AGE. 66 YEARS, OCC. MANAGING DIRECTOR AND  
AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY,  
NO.233, GIRIJARAMANA CHAMBERS,  
2<sup>ND</sup> FLOOR, 2<sup>ND</sup> MAIN ROAD, 15<sup>TH</sup> CROSS,  
SAMPIGE ROAD, MALLESHWARAM, BENGALURU-560003.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI. VIJAY K. NAIK, ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,  
R/BY ITS UNDER SECRETARY,  
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES,  
(SUGAR), VIKAS SOUDA, BENGALURU-560001.
2. THE COMMISSIONER,  
SUGAR CANE DEVELOPMENT AND DIRECTOR OF SUGAR,  
5<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR, F BLOCK, CBAB SANKIRNA  
KHB BUILDING, KAVERI BHAVAN,  
K.G. ROAD, BENGALURU-560009.
3. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,  
RAITHA SAHAKARI SAKKARE KAREKANE NIYAMITA,  
RANNA NAGAR, TIMMAPUR,  
TAL.MUDHOL, DIST.BAGALKOT-587122.
4. THE SPECIAL OFFICER,  
RAITHAR SAHAKARI SAKKARE KARKANE NIYAMITA



RANNA NAGAR, TIMMAPUR,  
TALUK.MUDHOL, DIST.BAGALKOT-587122.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. RAKESH M. BILKI, GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R2;  
SRI. SHIVARAJ P. MUDHOL, ADVOCATE FOR R3 AND R4)

THIS WRIT APPEAL IS FILED UNDER SECTION 4 OF  
KARNATAKA HIGH COURT ACT 1961, PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE  
ORDER DATED 11-12-2023 PASSED BY LEARNED SINGLE JUDGE IN  
WRIT PETITION NO.105880/2023 (GM-TEN) AND ETC.

THIS WRIT APPEAL COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING,  
THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.SUNIL DUTT YADAV  
AND  
HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE K.G.SHANTHI

**ORAL ORDER**

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.SUNIL DUTT YADAV)

No representation for the appellant, when the matter  
was called out. This Court on 25.03.2026 had ordered as  
follows:

*"The learned counsel for the appellant submits that  
the appellant has taken back the documents submitting  
he will make alternative arrangements.*

*The office is directed to re-list this appeal on  
10.06.2026 observing that if the appellant fails to make  
alternative arrangements, the appeal would be dismissed  
for default."*



In light of the same, as there is no representation on behalf of the appellant and as the appellant is clearly not diligent in prosecuting the proceedings, the appeal is ***dismissed for non-prosecution.***

Pending applications, if any, are disposed of as not calling for adjudication.

**Sd/-  
(S.SUNIL DUTT YADAV)  
JUDGE**

**Sd/-  
(K.G.SHANTHI)  
JUDGE**

JTR  
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 15