



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.SRISHANANDA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 100364 OF 2024 (482(CR.PC)/528(BNSS))

BETWEEN:

ARUN S/O SUBASAPPA NYAMATI
AGE 30 YEARS, OCC. PRIVATE WORK,
R/O. KUPPELUR, TQ. RANEBENNUR,
DIST. HAVERI 581119.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. A.D. KULKARNI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
THROUGH HALAGERI POLICE STATION,
R/BY THE STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING, DHARWAD 580011.

2. NETRAVATI D/O MAHADEVAPPA KARUR
AGED ABOUT 20 YEARS, OCC. HOUSEHOLD
R/O. ITAGI VILLAGE, TQ. RANEBENNUR,
DIST. HAVERI 518208.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PRAVEENA Y. DEVAREDDIYAVARA, HCGP FOR R1;
SRI. D.V. PATTAR, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL PETITION IS FILED U/SEC. 482 OF CR.P.C.
SEEKING TO QUASH THE CHARGE SHEET AS WELL AS ENTIRE
PROCEEDINGS IN S.C.NO. 113/2023 PENDING ON THE FILE OF 2ND
ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT RANEBENNUR, REGISTERED
AGAINST THE PETITIONER/ ACCUSED FOR THE OFFENCE P/U/SEC.
420 AND 376(2) (N) OF IPC, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:





ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.SRISHANANDA)

1. Heard Sri.Aravind D. Kulkarni, learned counsel appearing for petitioner, Sri.Praveena Y. Devareddiyavara, learned High Court Government Pleader, appearing for respondent No.1-State and learned counsel Sri.D.V.Patill, appearing for respondent No.2.

2. The parties are present before the Court along with their respective Advocates and filed a joint memo signed by them and their respective advocates.

3. Placing the joint memo on record and taking note of the fact that respondent No.2 is now married so also the appellant and further considering that the incident occurred when both were of young age and that the act was consensual in nature, this Court is of the view that the dispute and in order to put at rest the lis between the parties, which is privy to them and had no serious social impact, following the principle of law enunciated in ***Ramgopal and Another vs. State of Madhya Pradesh¹***, pending criminal proceedings needs to be quashed

¹ (2022) 14 SCC 531



especially appellant having paid sum of ₹3,00,000/- in cash to the second respondent as compensation.

4. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

- (i) Placing the joint memo on record, the petition stands allowed.
- (ii) Consequently pending proceedings in S.C.No.113/2023 pending on the file of II Additional District and Sessions Court, Ranebennur, is hereby quashed.

Sd/-
(V.SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE