



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.SRISHANANDA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 100196 OF 2025 (482(CR.PC)/528(BNSS))

BETWEEN:

1. HANUMAGOUDA S/O. GADIGEPPA MUDIGOUDRA,
AGED 36 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. HIREKONTI VILLAGE,
TQ. HIREKERUR, DIST. HAVERI.
2. SMT. MANGALA W/O. CHANNABASAPPA ANAVATTI
AGED 33 YEARS, OCC. HOUSEWIFE,
R/O. TORAVANDA VILLAGE,
TQ. SORABA, DIST. SHIVAMOGGA.
3. CHANNABASAPPA S/O. PUTTAPPA ANAVATTER
AGED 40 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. TORAVANDA VILLAGE,
TQ. SORABA, DIST. SHIVAMOGGA.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. GANGADHAR S. HOSAKERI, ADVOCATE)-ABSENT

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
HANSABHAVI POLICE STATION,
R/BY THE STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
DHARWAD-580001.
2. IRANAGOUDA MALLANAGOUDAR S/O. LOKAPPA
AGE 57 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. SHRIRAMANAKOPPA VILLAGE,
NOW AT TEACHER COLONY,
KORAMANGALA BENGALURU, TQ. BENGALURU,
DIST. BENGALURU-01.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. KIRTELATA R. PATIL, HCGP FOR R1;





SRI. V.M. BHANAKAR, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL PETITION IS FILED U/S 482 OF CR.P.C. 1973, SEEKING TO QUASH THE ENTIRE CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS IN CC NO.884/2024 PENDING ON THE FILE OF CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC HIREKERUR, IN CONNECTION WITH THE HANSABHAVI POLICE STATION FOR THE OFFENCES PUNISHABLE U/S 447, 379, 504, 506 AND SECTION 34 OF IPC AS AGAINST THE PETITIONERS HEREIN WHO ARE ARRAYED AS ACCUSED NO.1 TO 3, VIDE ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.,.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.SRISHANANDA)

1. None appears for the petitioners.
2. Order sheet discloses that the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the petition diligently.
3. Even on the last day of hearing there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners and this Court *suo-motu* adjourned the matter to today i.e., 24.02.2026, in the interest of justice.
4. Smt. Kirtilata R. Patil, learned High Court Government Pleader for respondent No.1-State is present before this Court.



5. Sri. V.M. Banakar, learned counsel for respondent No.2 is also present before this Court and submits that there is a criminal trespass and thieving of coconuts in the garden area and when questioned, accused has given a life threat to respondent No.2 and therefore, sought for dismissal of the petition.

6. Taking note of these aspects of the matter, the petition stands ***dismissed for non-prosecution.***

Sd/-
(V.SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE