



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD
DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M
WRIT PETITION NO. 100200 OF 2022 (LB-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. VORVAI SHEK SAB S/O DASTAGIR SAB,
AGE. 64 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. NO.1, ITAGI VILLAGE,
POST: EMMIGANUR,
TQ. KAMPLI, DIST. BALLARI-583 132.
2. P. SHEKSHAVALI S/O KHALANDAR,
AGE. 29 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS,
R/O. NO.1, ITAGI VILLAGE,
POST: EMMIGANUR,
TQ. KAMPLI, DIST. BALLARI-583 132.

... PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. DAYANAND.M.BANDI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. NO.3 SANAPUR GRAM PANCHAYATI,
NO. 1, ITAGI, EMMIGANUR POST,
TQ. KAMPLI, DIST. BALLARI-583 132,
REPRESENTED BY ITS PANCHAYAT
DEVELOPMENT OFFICER.
2. KAMPLI POLICE STATION,
TQ. KAMPLI, DIST. BALLARI-583 132,
REPRESENTED BY ITS STATION HOUSE OFFICER/
CIRCLE INSPECTOR.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. P.N. HATTI, HCGP FOR R2;
SRI. V. SHIVARAJ HIEMATH, ADVOCATE FOR R1)



THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Sri.Dayanand M.Bandi., counsel for the petitioners and Sri.P.N.Hatti., HCGP for respondent No.2 have appeared in person.

Sri.V.Shivaraj Hiremath., counsel for respondent No.1 has appeared through video conferencing.

2. The petition is filed seeking following reliefs:

*"i) Issue writ in the nature of Certiorari or any order in the form of direction and to quash the impugned endorsements dated 06/01/2022, 07/01/2022 and 10/01/2022 issued by the 1st Respondent vide **Annexure- "F, G and H"** to the writ petition in the interest of justice and equity.*

ii) Issue writ of mandamus or any other order in the form of direction to Respondents so as not to demolish/Remove any structures or the any part of the same situated in the petition schedule property in the interest of justice and equity."



3. Counsel for the respective parties urged several contentions. Heard the arguments and perused the papers with care.

4. Counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, submits that Petitioner No. 2 is not pressing for the grant of a licence to run a Kirana Shop as of today.

5. Taking note of the said submission, there is no necessity to consider the relief sought in the writ petition, as the impugned notices pertain to the refusal to grant a licence to run a Kirana Shop. In view of the same, the notices have become redundant. Accordingly, the writ petition stands ***disposed of***.

Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE

MRP
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 59