



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

WRIT PETITION NO. 101184 OF 2017 (LB-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. JAYAPPA VEERABASAPPA JAMBUR,
SINCE DECEASED BY HIS LR'S.,
- 1(a) GOWRAMMA W/O JAYAPPA JAMBUR,
AGE: 68 YEARS, OCC. HOUSEHOLD,
R/O. #205, MAIN ROAD, KACHAVI,
TQ. HIREKERUR, DIST. HAVERI-581 120.
- 1(b) MAMATA W/O MUKESHAPPA WALISJETTAR,
AGE: 48 YEARS, OCC. HOUSEHOLD,
R/O. #25/26, KHB COLONY,
TQ. HIREKERUR, DIST. HAVERI-581 111.
- 1(c) MAHESH S/O JAYEPPA JAMBUR,
AGE: 41 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS,
R/O. 625, ANEKALKOPPA, TQ. SAGARA,
DIST. SHIVAMOGGA-577 401.
- 1(d) SHIVALINGESH S/O JAYEPPA JAMBUR,
AGE: 38 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS,
R/O. KACHAVI, TQ. HIREKERUR,
DIST. HAVERI-581 120.

... PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. M.H. PATIL, ADVOCATE)



AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
R/BY THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HAVERI, DIST. HAVERI.
2. THE CHIEF SECRETARY,
ZILLA PANCHAYAT, HAVERI,
DIST: HAVERI.
3. THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
TALUKA PANCHAYAT, HIREKERUR.
4. THE P.D.O. GRAMA PANCHAYATI, KACHAVI,
HIREKERUR TALUK, DIST. HAVERI.
5. PRASHANTH S/O. GURUSHANTHAPPA SHIVAPUR,
AGE: 48 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,
R/O: KACHAVI, TQ. HIREKERUR,
DIST: HAVERI.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. P.N. HATTI, HCGP FOR R1;
SRI. M.M. KHANNUR, ADVOCATE FOR R4;
SRI. NAVEEN CHATRAD, ADVOCATE FOR R5;
NOTICE TO R2 AND R3 IS SERVED AND UNREPRESENTED)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND
227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN
RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR PRELIMINARY
HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS
UNDER:



ORAL ORDER

Sri.M.H.Patil., counsel for the petitioners, Sri.P.N.Hatti., High Court Government Pleader for respondent No.1 and Sri.M.M.Khannur., counsel for respondent No.4 have appeared in person.

2. The Writ Petition is filed seeking the following reliefs:

"a) Issue Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing Respondent No.4 to consider the Representation given by the Petitioner, Dated: 21/09/2016 vide Annexure-G.

b) And also grant such any other Writ or order which deems fit to this Hon'ble court."

3. Sri M.M. Khannur, counsel for respondent No. 4, on instructions, submits that the representation cannot be considered as the matter is pending before this Court in a Regular Second Appeal.

4. The submission is noted. However, the said submission cannot be a ground to reject the petitioners' request to consider the representation. The representation was made in the year 2016 and, for nearly nine years, it has not seen the



NC: 2026:KHC-D:4389
WP No. 101184 of 2017

light of day. Hence, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent No.4 to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner on 21.09.2016 (vide Annexure-G) within four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, in accordance with law, if it has not already been considered as of today. Non-compliance with this direction will be viewed seriously.

5. With the above observations, the writ petition is disposed of. It is needless to observe that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE

RH
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 23