



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

REVIEW PETITION NO. 100006 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

ADONI NARASIMHAGIRI
S/O ADHONI PRALHAD RAO,
AGED 59 YEARS,
OCC. SOFTWARE ENGINEER,
WORKING IN LUMAN IT INDIA PVT. LTD.,
PRESENT ADDRESS: SALAPURIA TOUCHSTONE,
4TH FLOOR, SY.NO.15/1/A AND 14/P7,
BENGALURU-103, KADUBINSANAHALLI,
VARTHUR HOBLI, BENGALURU-580 103.

PRESENT ADDRESS:
A. NARASIMHA GIRI,
A2, AHAFI PARADISE,
1ST CROSS, ABBAIAH REDDY LAYOUT,
KAGGADASAPURA, C.V. RAMANAGAR,
BENGALURU-560 093.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. M.L. VANTI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SHRI ADONI PRALHAD RAO
S/O LATE ADHONI KRISHNA RAO,
AGED ABOUT 91 YEARS,
R/O: 3RD CROSS, LINK ROAD,
NEAR SHARADA VIDYAPEETH SCHOOL,
BALLARI-583 101, NOW AT
R/O. ANAND SEVA SADAN TRUST,
REHABILITATION CENTRE AND OLD AGE HOME,
#40, 4TH MAIN ROAD, 3RD STAGE,
BEML LAYOUT, RAJRAJESHWARI NAGAR,



BENGALURU-560 098, R/BY GPA HOLDER,
SMT. B. RADHA W/O VENUGOPAL BADAMI,
AGE. 55 YEARS, VIJAYSHREE, 3RD CROSS,
LINK ROAD, S.N. PET, NEAR SHARADA VIDYA PEETH,
BALLARI, BALLARI-583 101.

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SENIOR CITIZENS TRIBUNAL (APPEALS),
D.C. OFFICE, BALLARI, BALLARI-581 101.
3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
CHAIRMAN OF THE TRIBUNAL CONSTITUTED
UNDER THE MAINTENANCE AND WELFARE
OF SENIOR CITIZEN ACT 2007,
A.C. OFFICE, BALLARI-583 101.

... RESPONDENTS

THIS REVIEW PETITION IS FILED UNDER SECTION 47 RULE
1 READ WITH SECTION 114 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL
PROCEDURE, 1908.

THIS REVIEW PETITION IS LISTED FOR ORDERS, THIS
DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Sri.M.L.Vanti., counsel for the review petitioner has
appeared in person.

2. The captioned Review Petition is listed today for
orders regarding hearing on interlocutory application and it is
heard.

3. The Review petition is filed seeking a review of the
order dated 22.03.2025 passed by this Court in Writ Petition



No.102677/2024 (GM-RES). The review petitioner was respondent No.1 in the Writ Petition and an emergent notice was ordered on 30.04.2024 and notice was held sufficient vide order dated 14.03.2025. It is note that the review petitioner had neither engaged the services of an advocate nor conducted the case as a party in person. This Court disposed of the Writ Petition on the merits of the case. There is no error apparent on the face of the record. Hence, no grounds to review the order dated 22.03.2025. Accordingly, the review petition is ***dismissed***.

Because of the dismissal of the Review Petition, pending interlocutory applications stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE

AM
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 8