



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

WRIT PETITION NO. 39481 OF 2025 (LB-BMP)

BETWEEN:

SMT. TEJA B.,
W/O H A SUDARSHAN,
AGE ABOUT 55 YEARS,
R/AT WARD NO.25,
'MATHRUSHREE NILAYA',
DODDA BASTHI ROAD,
HASSAN - 573 201.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI SACHIN B S., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE COMMISSIONER,
BENGALURU CENTRAL CORPORATION,
GREATER BENGALURU AUTHORITY,
10TH FLOOR,
PU BUILDING, M G ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 002.
2. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
GREATER BENGALURU AUTHORITY,
N R SQUARE,
BENGALURU - 560 002.
3. THE ADDITIONAL COMMISSIONER,
GREATER BENGALURU AUTHORITY,
ZONE 2, 8TH FLOOR,
PU BUILDING, BENGALURU - 560 001.

Digitally
signed by
GEEETHA P G
Location:
HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



4. SRI ABHISHEK C G.,
S/O GURUMURTHY,
AGE ABOUT 32 YEARS,
R/AT 150, 7TH CROSS,
5TH MAIN ROAD,
DEVANATHACHAR STREET,
CHAMARAJPET,
BENGALURU - 560 018.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI MONESH KUMAR K B., ADVOCATE FOR R1 TO R3;
SRI G.M. HEMANTH KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENT NOS.1 TO 3 TO STOP AND REMOVE THE CONSTRUCTION PUT UP BY RESPONDENT CONSIDERING THE REPRESENTATIONS DATED 24.10.2025 AND 08.12.2025 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER AND TO INITIATE ACTION AGAINST THE RESPONDENT NO.4, IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW FOR VIOLATING THE MINIMUM SETBACK NORMS AND FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE BUILDING BY RESPONDENT NO.4 WITHOUT OBTAINING ANY PERMISSION OR LICENSE, PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-A, A1 AND A2, A3 DATED 24.12.2025, 24.10.2025, 24.10.2025 AND 08.12.2025 RESPECTIVELY.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THROUGH PHYSICAL HEARING/VIDEO CONFERENCING THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN



ORAL ORDER

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition alleging that respondent no.4 has put up illegal construction in violation of building bye-laws and the sanctioned plan, and that no action has been taken inspite of complaints made by the petitioner to respondent Nos.1 to 3.

2. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1 to 3 - the Greater Bengaluru Authority (GBA) upon instructions, submits that both petitioner as well as respondent no.4 have put up illegal construction, and that action will be initiated against both the petitioner as well as respondent No.4.

3. No person has the right to put up illegal construction in violation of the building bye-laws and the sanctioned plan.

4. Hence, the writ petition stands ***disposed of*** with a direction to respondent Nos.1 to 3-GBA to initiate



appropriate action against both petitioner as well as respondent No.4 against the illegal construction said to have been put up by them.

5. The needful shall be done by respondent Nos.1 to 3 within a period of four months from today.

**Sd/-
(M.I.ARUN)
JUDGE**

VMB
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 45